Chapter 7

From measure predicates to count nouns: Complex measure nouns in Russian

Keren Khrizman

Bar-Ilan University

This paper offers a semantic analysis of morphologically complex measure nouns in Russian (e.g., *trexlitrovka* 'three-liter- κA^{SUFFIX}). *Prima facie* such nouns look very much like measure predicates such as *three liters* that appear in pseudo-partitives as *three liters of water*. I show that they are not such. In particular I shall argue that: (i) complex measure nouns are not measure predicates, but are genuine count nouns denoting entities with certain measure characteristics; (ii) they are derived via an operation which shifts measure predicates expressing measure properties to nouns denoting disjoint entities that have these properties; (iii) the interpretational domain involves a wide range of entities including containers and portions. I will then show that the analysis has at least two important implications: (a) it supports the reality of measure predicates (*three liters*); (b) it shows that measure-to-count shifts are productive semantic operations.

Keywords: measure/count predicates, nominalization, measure-to-count semantic shifts

1 Introduction

Colloquial Russian uses productively morphologically complex measure nouns. These are nouns constructed out of a numeral, a measure word, and a nominal suffix -ka (1).

 a. trex- litr-ov- ka samogon-a three.gen- liter-gen.pl- ка.nom.sg moonshine-gen.sg 'a three-liter jar/bottle of moonshine' b. sto- gramm-ov- ka vodk-i hundred.nom- gram-gen.pl- ка.nom.sg vodka-gen.sg 'a 100-gram glass of vodka'

Such nouns apparently look like measure expressions such as *tri litra/trex litrov* 'three liters' used in pseudo-partitives such *tri litra/trex litrov vody* illustrated in (2).

- (2) a. V ėtoj kanistre tri litr-a vod-y. in this jerrycan three.NOM liter-GEN.SG water-GEN.SG
 'There are three liters of water in this jerrycan.'
 - b. Trex litr-ov vod-y nam dolžno xvatiť. three.GEN liter-GEN.PL water-GEN.SG us must suffice 'Three liters of water should be enough for us.'

However, the two constructions are very different. While *three liters* in (2) expresses measure properties of entities, the MEASURE NOUNS in (1) denote actual objects (glasses, jars etc..) that have these properties. As further shown in (3), these nouns have sortal uses and can be modified by adjectives. They cannot be used as adjectival modifiers of other nouns (4).

- (3) a. Taščiť napolnennye pjati-litrov-ki okazalos' ne v primer carry filled five-liter-кА.АСС.РL appeared NEG in example tjaželej pustyx. harder empty
 'It was incomparably harder to carry full five-liter (plastic) jars than empty ones.' [Google Books]
 b. granen-ye / xrustal'n-ye sto-grammov-ki faceted-NOM.PL crystal-NOM.PL hundred-gram-кА.NOM.PL 'faceted/crystal 100-gram glasses'
- (4) * trex-litrov-ka bank-a three-liter-кА.NOM.SG jar-NOM Intended: 'a three-liter jar'

While the examples in (3)-(4) show that measure nouns are genuine nouns at type $\langle e, t \rangle$, the data in (5) show that they are COUNT NOUNS denoting sets of disjoint individuals as they can be pluralized, modified by numerals, and be antecedents of distributive operators such as reciprocals.

(5) Pjat' trex-litrov-ok / Trex-litrov-ki stojali odna na drugoj. five three-liter-ка.gen.pl three-liter-ка.nom.pl stood one on other 'Five three-liter jars / Three-liter jars stood on top of each other.'

Importantly, the CONTAINER NOUNS illustrated so far are only a subclass of a wider range of complex nouns built of expressions denoting measures in different dimensions and denoting salient objects which have the stated properties (e.g. power: *sto-vat-ka* 'a 100-watt bulb'; time: *pjati-let-ka* 'a five-year project/a five-year-old'; distance: *sto-metrov-ka* 'a hundred-meter route/stretch'). Furthermore, these nouns are used very productively. *Stogrammovka* in (1b) for example, may refer to a variety of objects which weigh 100 grams with the nature of the object being determined by context (e.g. 'a 100ml bottle/tube', 'a 100g package/bar', 'an ultra-light coat', 'a 100g ball/roll' etc.) (6).

- (6) a. ... Kupila sto-grammov-ku lokobejza. bought 100-gram-кА.Асс.sG Locobase
 'I bought a 100-gram tube of Locobase.' [irecomend.ru]
 b. Segodnja odela sto-grammov-ku poverx svitšota. today put.on 100-gram-кА.Асс.sG over sweatshirt
 'Today I put a light coat on top of my sweatshirt.' [ladies.zp.ua]
 c. 56 grammovye šokoladnye plitk-i po forme i ob"emu
 - 56 gram chocolate bar-PL by form and volume napominajuščie starye sto-grammov-ki reminding old 100-gram-кА.АСС.PL '56-gram bars which look very much like our old 100-gram bars'

[kharkovforum.com]

These data raise a number of questions: (i) What is the semantic interpretation of these nouns? (ii) How are they derived semantically and morphologically? (iii) What can we learn about the semantics of measure expressions from these nouns?

In the rest of the paper I shall explore these nouns in the light of recent work on the semantics of counting and measuring and argue that: (i) complex measure nouns are not measure predicates but are genuine count predicates denoting sets of discrete entities with certain measure properties; (ii) they are derived via a nominalization operation which shifts measure modifiers, expressed by numeral noun phrases or adjectives, to count predicates denoting sets of disjoint entities; (iii) the analysis correctly predicts that the interpretational range of complex nouns involves CONTAINERS and COUNTABLE PORTIONS in the sense of Khrizman et al. (2015). This work has wider theoretical implications. First, it supports the reality of mass measure predicates as argued in Landman (2016). Second, it shows that measure-to-count shifts are linguistically real, productive semantic operations.

The paper will be structured as follows. In the next section I shall discuss the morphological properties of complex measure nouns and argue that they can be derived either from noun phrases headed by a numeral or adjectives. In §3 I provide a basic semantic interpretation of measure nouns. §4 and §5 extend this analysis to container and portion uses respectively. We shall finally discuss the theoretical implications of the proposed analysis in §6.

2 Morphological derivation

-*ka* is a productive suffix used to derive nouns from lexical items of different syntactic categories which, according to at least some grammarians, include adjectives with the *-ov-* suffix (e.g., *metrovyj* 'measuring one meter/calibrated in meters') and complex phrases comprised of a noun modified by a numeral (*pjat' let* 'five years') (a.o. Vinogradov 1960). Such a classification suggests two possibile ways for deriving measure nouns: from measure noun phrases such as *tri litra* 'three liters' used in pseudo-partitives such as *three liters of water* in genitive case in Figure 1, or from complex measure adjectives such as *trexlitrovyj* 'three-liter' as in *a three-liter jar* in Figure 2. Notice that the genitive plural suffix is homophonous to the adjectival *-ov-*.

NOMINATIVE NP		GENITIVE NP		COMPLEX NOUN		
[tri	litr-a]	\rightarrow	[trex	litr-ov]	\rightarrow	[trex-litr-ov-ka]
three.nom liter-gen.sg			three.gen liter-gen.pl			three.gen-liter-gen.pl-ка
'three liters (NP)'			'(of) three liters'			'a three-liter jar'

Figure 1: Numeral NP-to-measure noun-pattern

NOMINATIVE NP		ADJECTIVE		COMPLEX NOUN
[tri litr-a]	\rightarrow	[trex-litr-ov-yj]	\rightarrow	[trex-litr-ov-ka]
three.nom liter-gen.sg		three.gen-liter-adj-m.sg		three.gen-liter-gen.pl-ka
'three liters' (NP)		'three-liter'		'a three liter jar'

Figure 2: Numeral adjective-to-measure noun-pattern

I shall now bring evidence that both patterns occur. In particular, we shall see that there are cases which can be analyzed only as being derived from numeral NPs as in Figure 1 and, conversely, there are measure nouns for which only the 'adjective-to-noun' pattern in Figure 2 is possible.

We start with the pattern in Figure 2. This pattern is very clearly exemplified by (odno)litrovka 'a one-liter jar/bottle'. The complement of the genitive NP odnogo litra 'of one liter' is singular and does not have the suffix -ov. Therefore deriving 'one-liter jar' in the pattern in Figure 1, i.e. from a measure phrase, would produce (odno)litrka, which does not exist (Figure 3). The -ov- suffix in (odno)litrovka must come from the adjective litrovyj 'one-liter'. Thus the most plausible derivation for litrovka is from the adjectival base, i.e. through the pattern in Figure 2, as shown in Figure 4.¹

[odin	litr]	\rightarrow	[(odn-ogo) litr-a]	\rightarrow	*[(odno)-litr-ka]
one.nom	liter.nom.sg		one-gen liter-gen.sg		one.gen-liter-ка
'one liter'	,		'of one liter'		ʻa one-liter jar'

Figure 3: Numeral NP-to-measure noun-pattern (ungrammatical)

[odin litr]	\rightarrow	[(odn-o)-litr-ov-yj]	\rightarrow	[(odno)-litr-ov-ka]
one.nom liter-nom.sg		one-liter-адл-м.sg		one.gen-liter-адј-ка
'one liter'		'of one liter'		ʻa one-liter jar'

Figure 4: Numeral adjective-to-measure noun-pattern (ungrammatical)

Evidence for the pattern in Figure 1 comes from the contrast between *sto-grammka* in (7a), (7c), and *stogrammovka* in (7b), earlier illustrated in (1b) and (6). While the noun phrase 'hundred grams' has two productive variants, one with the *-ov-* suffix in (8a) and one without it (8b), the adjective 'hundred-gram' has only one productive form which is derived using the adjectival suffix *-ov-*(9). Therefore, *stogrammovka* could be derived either from the adjectival form *stogrammovyj* in (9a) or from the measure phrase *sto grammov* (8a). *Stogrammka*, which lacks *-ov-*, however, is most plausibly derived from the measure phrase *sto gramm* in (8b), since the adjectival form *stogrammnyj* in (9b) is not productive. I did find few occurrences of this form on the Internet, but all my informants who are ready to accept *stogrammka* (even though this form is quite rare, too) reject it. At least for those speakers, *stogrammka* must be derived from a nominal phrase *sto gramm* and not from the adjective *stogrammovyj*, i.e. via the pattern in Figure 1.

¹Examples such as *pjatiletka* 'a five-year old/a five-year program' have also been treated as derived from adjectives. Such an analysis assumes that deletion of the adjectival suffix *-n*-takes place, *pjatiletnij* (ADJ) – *pjatiletka* (NOUN) (Townsend 1975 as opposed to Vinogradov 1960).

- (7) a. sto-gramm-ka 100-gram.GEN.PL-КА 'a 100-gram cup/bottle'
 - b. sto-gramm-ov-ka 100-gram-gen.pl-ка

'a 100-gram cup/bottle'

 c. ... u menja segodnja est' na dve sto-gramm-ki, ėto takie at me today there.is on two sto-gramm-ka.GEN.PL this such plastikovye stakančiki s zapakovannoj vodkoj. plastic cups with packed vodka
 'Today I have enough money to buy two 100-gramm-ka, those small plastic cups filled with vodka.' [an-kom.livejournal.com]

(8) Measure phrase

a.	sto gramm-ov	b.	sto gramm
	100 gram-gen.pl		100 gram.gen.pl
	'100 grams'		'100 grams'

(9) Adjective

a. sto-gramm-ov-yj 100-gram-ADJ-M.SG '100-gram' b. ? sto-gramm-n-yj 100-gram-ADJ-м.sG '100-gram'

We therefore conclude that complex measure nouns are derived via two possible routes: either from measure phrases like *three liters* or *100 grams*, or from measure adjectives such as *three-liter* or *100-gram*. Some nouns are derived only with one pattern (e.g., *litrovka*, *stogrammka*) and for some nouns both patterns are equally plausible (e.g., *stogrammovka*.) In the following section I provide a semantic analysis which not only is compatible with the morphological facts discussed above but also explains them.

3 Semantic interpretation

In the previous two sections we have shown that: (i) measure nouns are genuine count nouns and (ii) they are derived either from measure noun phrases (e.g., *sto gramm(ov)* '100 grams') or from measure adjectives (*stogrammovyj* '100-gram'). I

shall now provide a semantic derivation. We begin by outlining a number of theoretical assumptions on the semantics of count nouns and measure expressions.

With Rothstein (2011), Landman (2011; 2016), and Filip & Sutton (2016) I assume that the count/mass contrast in the nominal domain amounts to the distinction between disjoint and overlapping denotations. In particular, singular count nouns denote sets of disjoint entities, and plural count nouns denote sets of these disjoint entities closed under sum, whereas mass denotations can be generated by sets of overlapping entities. Measure nouns such as *trexlitrovka*, which have count denotations, will therefore denote sets of disjoint entities.

As for the measure expression, I will base myself on the framework in Landman (2004; 2016), Rothstein (2009; 2011; 2017) (for English), and Partee & Borschev (2012), Khrizman (2016a,b) (for Russian). In this framework measure phrases such as *100 grams* are intersective modifiers which express measure properties, i.e. properties of having a value on a dimensional scale calibrated in certain units (10).

- (10) a. $P_{\text{MEAS}} = \lambda x.\text{MEAS}_{\text{DIM UNIT}}(x) = n$
 - b. $P_{100 \text{ GRAMS}} = \lambda x.\text{MEAS}_{\text{WEIGHT GRAM}}(x) = 100$ the property of having the value 100 on a weight scale calibrated in gram units

Rothstein (2017) showed that measure properties as defined in (10) are expressed by constructions of two types. One, as already mentioned above, is via nominal measure heads such as *100 grams* used in pseudo-partitives like *100 grams* of flour (11a). The other is via distributive measure adjectives such as *100-gram* in a *100gram apple* (12a). Both expressions denote the property of weighing 100 grams. In (11) this property is assigned to sums of entities denoted by the mass predicate *flour* (11b) and in (12) the same property is assigned to individual apples in the denotation of the count singular *apple* (12b).²

- (11) 100 grams of flour
 - a. [[hundred grams]] = λx .MEAS WEIGHT GRAM (x) = 100
 - b. [[hundred grams of flour]] = λx .FLOUR $(x) \wedge MEAS_{WEIGHT GRAM}(x) = 100$ the set of sums of flour that weigh 100 grams

²It is known that the classifier and the adjectival use of measure expressions illustrated in (11) and (12), respectively, show differences in distribution and interpretation. Classifier uses like those in (11) induce extensive readings, whereas adjectival forms like those in (12) encode non-extensive measure functions. Further, classifier uses are not distributive, whereas adjectival ones are (Schwarzschild 2005). Rothstein (2017) shows that such differences are not an indication of a different semantics of the two expressions but follow from the differences in their syntactic positions.

- (12) a 100-gram apple
 - a. [[hundred-gram]] = λx .MEAS WEIGHT GRAM (x) = 100
 - b. $[[a hundred-gram apple]] = \lambda x.APPLE(x) \land MEAS_{WEIGHT GRAM}(x) = 100$ the set of apples such that each weighs 100 grams

With this background I now propose a basic semantic derivation of morphologically complex measure nouns as follows in (13). Measure nouns are derived via a nominalization operation, expressed by the *-ka* suffix, which shifts intersective predicate modifiers expressing measure properties to count nouns denoting sets of contextually determined disjoint elements which have these measure properties. *Stogrammovka*, for example, starts off as a measure predicate denoting the property of weighing 100 grams in (14a). -KA shifts it into a singular count predicate denoting the set of disjoint entities such that each weighs 100 grams (14b).

- (13) The semantics of complex measure nouns
 - a. $[-ka] = \lambda P_{\text{MEAS}} \lambda x. N_c(x) \wedge P_{\text{MEAS}}(x),$ N_C is a property whose context is contextually determined, N_C is a disjoint set.
 - b. $[[measure noun]] = \lambda x.N_c(x) \wedge P_{MEAS}(x),$ N_C is a property whose context is contextually determined, N_C is a disjoint set.
- (14) a. $P_{100 \text{ grams}} = \lambda x.\text{Meas}_{\text{weight gram}}(x) = 100$
 - b. [[stogrammovka]]

$$= \lambda P_{\text{MEAS}} \lambda x. N_c(x) \wedge P_{\text{MEAS}}(x) (\lambda x. \text{MEAS}_{\text{WEIGHT GRAM}}(x) = 100)$$

 $= \lambda x.N_c(x) \wedge \text{meas}_{\text{weight gram}}(x) = 100,$

 N_C is a disjoint set.

the set of contextually determined disjoint entities (like jackets, bars, etc.) that weigh 100 grams

Given that measure properties are expressed by both numeral noun phrases and measure adjectives, -KA can take both genitive NPs such as *sto gramm(ov)* and adjectives such as *stogrammovyj* as input. We thus see now that the proposed analysis predicts and explains the dual pattern of morphological derivation discussed in the previous section.

Further support for the analysis in (13) comes from examples like those in (15). Here, an intersective adjective denoting a property of being grown up/mature is shifted to a count noun denoting individuals who are grown up. This shows that shifts from properties to count nouns denoting objects with the stated properties

are attested in a wider range of expressions in Russian. The difference is that, with measure modifiers, this shift is overtly expressed through -ка.

- (15) a. On vzrosl-yj čelovek. he grown.up-NOM.M.SG man.NOM.SG 'He is a grown up person.'
 - b. Nekotor-ye vzrosl-ye vedut sebja kak deti.
 some-NOM.PL grown.up-NOM.PL behave themselves like children
 'Some grown-up people behave as children.'

We have now worked out the basic semantic interpretation of complex measure nouns and have shown how to derive sets of individuals having a particular measure property. In the following section we shall take a closer look at a productive variant of such expressions, container nouns.

4 Container uses

4.1 Semantic interpretation

The contrast in (16a) and (16b) shows that container interpretations of complex nouns are different from other readings. In particular in (16a) *stogrammovka* refers to a bar whose weight is 100 grams, whereas in (16b) the same noun refers to a glass which can hold 100 grams but does not weigh 100 grams by itself.

(16) a. 56 grammovye šokoladnye plitk-i po forme i ob"emu 56 gram chocolate bar-PL by form and volume napominajuščie starye sto-grammov-ki reminding old 100-gram-кА.АСС.PL '...56-gram bars which look very much like our old 100-gram bars'
b. na polke stojali xrustal'nye sto-grammov-ki. on shelf stood crystal 100-gram-кА.NOM.PL

'There were a few 100-gram glasses on the shelf.'

To capture that contrast I follow Casati & Varzi (1999) and Rothstein (2009; 2017) and treat containers as complex objects which incorporate holes which are themselves objects to which properties can be assigned (17).

(17) Container-definition

(Rothstein 2017: 218)

- a. A container is an object associated with a hole
- b. If container(x) then $\forall U : \text{measure}_{\text{volume}, U}(x) = \text{measure}_{\text{volume}, U}(\text{hole}(x)).$

Keren Khrizman

The basic interpretational schema in (13) is then extended to container complex nouns as follows in (18). Container measure nouns denote sets of contextually disjoint objects that are containers whose holes have a certain measure property in terms of volume (19).³

- (18) The semantic interpretation of measure nouns denoting containers $\lambda x.N_{\text{CONTAINER}_c}(x) \wedge \text{MEAS}_{\text{VOL UNIT}}(\text{HOLE}(x)) = n, N_{\text{CONTAINER}_c}$ is disjoint. the set of contextually determined entities whose holes measure to n number of volume units
- (19) a. $[[stogrammovka]] = \lambda x. N_{CONTAINER_c}(x) \land MEAS_{VOL GRAM}(HOLE(x)) = 100, N_{CONTAINER_c}$ is disjoint.

the set of contextually determined disjoint containers whose volume is 100 grams

b. $[[\text{trexlitrovka}]] = \lambda x . N_{\text{CONTAINER}_c}(x) \land \text{MEAS}_{\text{VOL LITER}}(\text{HOLE}(x)) = 3, N_{\text{CONTAINER}_c}$ is disjoint.

the set of contextually determined disjoint containers whose volume is 3 liters

Shifts from a measure interpretation to a container interpretation are not unknown. Khrizman et al. (2015) show that lexical measures like *liter* in certain contexts shift to a container reading (20).

(20) He arrived home and knocked on the door with one liter of milk. His mother said to him: "I asked you for two liters. Where is the second one?" Her son said to her: "It broke, mother."
[Matilda Koén-Sarano (ed.), Folktales of Joha, Jewish Trickster, p. 22, The Jewish Publication Society, Philadelphia, 2003; from Khrizman et al. 2015: 200]

Khrizman et al. (2015) argued that in such cases *liter* is reinterpreted as a container whose contents measures 1 liter in volume (21).

(21) $\lambda x.CONTAINER(x) \land MILK(contents(x)) \land liter(contents(x)) = 1,$ CONTAINER is disjoint. the set of containers such that the contents is milk and measure 1 liter in volume

I do not adopt this for measure nouns, since unlike *liter* they have non-relational uses at type $\langle e, t \rangle$ (22), so the measure properties must apply to containers and not to contents. *Trexlitrovka* in (22a) can easily refer to an empty container, whereas *three liters* cannot (22b).

³In Russian, grams are sometimes used for volume; e.g., *sto gramm(ov) vodki* '100 grams of vodka'.

- (22) a. Trex-litrov-ka skatilas' na pol i vdrebezgi razbilas'. three-liter-κA.NOM.SG rolled on floor and to.pieces smashed 'A three-liter jar rolled down to the floor and smashed to pieces.'
 - b. * Three liters broke.
 Intended: 'A three-liter container/jar broke.'

4.2 Classifier uses

Count nouns denoting containers can be used in pseudo-partitive noun phrases such as *three glasses of water* allowing for two different interpretations. The first is a classifier use in which they are interpreted as relational nouns (23a). The second is a measure use in which they are interpreted as units of measure, analogously to inherent measures such as *liter* (23b) (Rothstein 2009; 2017, Landman 2004; 2016 for English; Partee & Borschev 2012, Khrizman 2016a,b for Russian).⁴

- (23) a. He handed me a glass of wine. container classifier $[\![glass]\!]_{\langle\langle e,t\rangle,\langle e,t\rangle\rangle} = \lambda P \lambda x. \text{GLASS}(x) \land \exists y [P(y) \land \text{CONTAINS}(x, y)]$
 - b. There are/is two glases of wine in this jar. measure unit $[[glass]]_{\langle n, \langle e, t \rangle \rangle} = \lambda x.$ MEAS _{GLASS UNITS} (x) = n

Count nouns have the same ambiguity in Russian, too (24) (see Partee & Borschev 2012; Khrizman & Rothstein 2015; Khrizman 2016a,b).

- (24) a. On peredal mne stakan vod-y. he passed me glass.ACC.SG water-GEN.SG
 'He handed me a glass of water.'
 - b. V kanistre ostalos' ešče dva tri stakan-a vod-y.
 in jerrycan left still two three glass-GEN.SG water-GEN.SG
 'There are still two or three glasses of water left in the jerrycan.'

If container complex measure nouns are genuine count nouns then we can ask whether they can be used as in pseudo-partitives, and if it is possible, we would expect them to be ambiguous between a classifier and a measure use, too. And this is the case. The examples in (25) illustrate a container classifier use. The semantic interpretations is then as follows in (26). *Trexlitrovka* shifts from the $\langle e, t \rangle$

⁴Rothstein (2009; 2017) defines the meaning of containers in English using the 'CONTAIN(x, y)' relation. Partee & Borschev (2012) use 'FILLED WITH(x, y)' relation to interpret the parallel construction in Russian. For discussion see Partee & Borschev (2012) and Rothstein (2017).

Keren Khrizman

sortal interpretation in (19b) to a relational interpretation in (26a). It combines with a complement *honey* and creates a predicate denoting the set of disjoint containers which have 3-liter holes and which are filled with honey (26b).⁵

(25)	a.	Kto-to	razbil trex-litrov-ku	med-a.	
		somebody	v broke three-liter-ка.ас	c.sg honey-gen.s	G
		'Someone	broke a three-liter jar of	'honey'	[shkolazhizni.ru]

- (26) a. $[[\text{trexlitrovka}]] = \lambda P \lambda x . N_{\text{CONTAINER}(x)} \land \text{MEAS}_{\text{VOL LITER}} (\text{HOLE}(x)) = 3 \land \exists y [P(y) \land \text{FILLED WITH}(x, y)], N_{\text{CONTAINER}_{c}} \text{ is disjoint.}$
 - b. $[[\text{trexlitrovka meda}]] = \lambda x . N_{\text{container}_c}(x) \land \text{meas}_{\text{vol liter}}(\text{hole}(x)) = 3 \land \exists y [\text{honey}(y) \land \text{filled with}(x, y)], N_{\text{container}_c} \text{ is disjoint.}$

the set of contextually determined three-liter containers filled with honey

The measure use is more complex. Measure nouns are not used naturally to express standard units of measure (27). In particular, *trexlitrovka* 'three-liter jar' is not used interchangeably with *tri litra* 'three liters' to measure out three-liter quantities of N. This is presumably expected, since a standard measure expression is available.

 (27) Zalejte jagody tre-mja litr-ami / ?trex-litrov-koj pour berries three-INS liter-INS.PL three-liter-ка.INST.SG kipjatk-a. boiling.water-GEN.SG
 'Pour three liters of boiling water over the berries.'

But they *are* used as *ad hoc* measure units in approximative contexts (see Partee & Borschev 2012; Rothstein 2017); see (28). In (28), the precise volume of the jar is not directly relevant. The speaker uses the noun not because he knows that this volume corresponds to a certain amount of berries. Instead, the speaker uses the noun to express that he estimates that the amount of the berries on the bush is the amount which would fill a stereotypical three-liter jar. (29) illustrates a similar point.

⁵The analysis in (26) is based on the analyses of Russian pseudo-partitives with container nouns such as *stakan* 'glass' on the classifier use proposed in Partee & Borschev (2012) and Khrizman (2016a,b). For details see the original papers.

- (28) Context: 'This raspberry bush is full of berries!' Da, zdes' kak minimum odna polnaja trex-litrov-ka yes here as minimum one full three-liter-ка.noм.sg (jagod). berry.gen.pl
 'Oh, yes! There is at least one full three-liter jar of berries.'
- (29) Context: 'They served wonderful pickled mushrooms at Masha's wedding!' Ja s"ela navernoe celuju trex-litrov-ku ėtix I ate probably whole three-liter-ка.асс.sG this.GEN.PL grib-ov. mushroom-GEN.PL
 'I guess I ate a whole three-liter jar of those mushrooms.'

I thus adopt Partee & Borschev's semantics for containers on the *ad hoc* measure interpretation in which a free variable y is used to refer to a container (30). As a result, the interpretation makes reference to a three-liter container, but does not entail its existence.

- (30) a. $[[\text{trexlitrovka}_{\text{measure}}]]$ = $\lambda n \lambda x. \text{CONTAINER}_c(y_1) \wedge \text{MEAS}_{\text{LITER}}(\text{HOLE}(y_1)) = 3 \wedge x$ would fill y_1 n times.
 - b. [[trexlitrovka_{measure} jagod]] = λx .berry pl(x) \wedge container_c(y₁) \wedge meas_{liter}(hole(y₁)) = 3 $\wedge x$ would fill y₁ once.

the set of quantities of berries which would fill a stereotypical three-liter jar once

To conclude, complex measure nouns denoting containers just like other count nouns denoting containers can be used in complex NPs.⁶ (Notice that pseudo-

- (i) tri kilogramma grib-ov / muk-i / *grib-a three kilo mushroom-pl.count flour-sg.mass mushroom-sg.count 'three kilos of mushrooms/flour'
- (ii) tri banki / trex-litrov-ki grib-ov / muk-i / three jars three-liter-ка.pl mushroom-pl.count flour-sg.mass
 *grib-a mushroom-sg.count

'three jars/three-liter jars of mushroom/flour'

⁶A reviewer notes that Czech has a similar construction but that complex measure nouns used as measure classifiers require plural count/mass complements, whereas inherent measure words are compatible with singular count complements. This contrast is absent in Russian where singular count nouns are not allowed in either case (see Khrizman 2014; 2016a):

partitives are distinct from true partitives; see Koptjevskaja-Tamm 2001.) As predicted, they have both a container classifier and a measure interpretation.⁷

In §5 I shall argue that the analysis also correctly predicts that the interpretational range of complex nouns includes portions.

5 Portion uses

We analyzed complex measure nouns as count predicates and assumed that countability requires disjointness, i.e. count denotations are disjoint denotations.

Khrizman et al. (2015) have shown that the range of count predicates includes expressions denoting disjoint quantities of substances, i.e. portions. Portions can be expressed by different constructions. One example is pseudo-partitives with container classifiers illustrated in (31). What is being drunk is beer and not glasses. However, *glass* cannot be interpreted as a unit of measure equal to one glass, since glasses of different size are involved. *Fifteen glasses of beer* then makes reference to fifteen portions of beer. Also there are expressions like in (32) which make reference to contextually determined portions without a container being involved.

(i) On vypil stakan molok-a, kotoryj stojal na stole.
 he drank glass.ACC.SG milk-GEN which stood on table
 'He drank the glass of milk that was standing on the table.'

(Partee & Borschev 2012: 459)

 (ii) On vzjal sto-grammov-ku vodk-i, kotoraja stojala na stole, i vypil ee he took 100-gram-ка.асс.sg vodka-gen which stood on table and drank it zalpom.

in.one.gulp

'He took the 100-gram glass of vodka which stood on the table and drank it in one gulp.'

Notice, though, that I do not attempt to provide a dotted-type semantics for these expressions. For further discussion see Partee & Borschev (2012).

⁷Partee & Borschev (2012) (following Pustejovsky 1993 on dotted-type objects) use a copredication test to show that container nouns in Russian can be used to refer to containers themselves and to their contents; see (i). A reviewer points out that if complex measure nouns name containers, they are expected to show the same behavior, i.e. appear in constructions in which the two meanings are coordinated. Example (ii) shows that this is indeed the case.

- (31) I drank fifteen glasses of beer, five flutes, five pints, and five steins. I drank five of the fifteen glasses of beer before my talk and the rest after it. (Khrizman et al. 2015: 202)
- (32) Eén patat met, één zonder, en één met satésaus, alstublieft. one french.fries with one without and one with peanut.sauce please
 'One french fries with [mayonnaise], one without, and one with peanut sauce, please.'
 (Dutch, Khrizman et al. 2015: 200)

Khrizman et al. (2015) bring cross-linguistic evidence that portion expressions have properties of count predicates and give a formal analysis on which portion predicates denote sets of disjoint quantities of stuff and, therefore, are count.

If complex measure nouns are count predicates and portions are such, too, we predict that measure nouns can denote sets of disjoint portions with certain measure properties. For example, *stogrammovka* could be interpreted as making reference to individual portions which measure 100 grams (33).

 [[hundred-gram-ka]] = λx.PORTION_c ∧ MEAS WEIGHT/VOL GRAM (x) = 100 PORTION_c is a property whose content is contextually determined. PORTION_c is disjoint.
 the set of contextually determined disjoint quantities (portions) which measure 100 grams in volume/weight

The prediction is borne out. *Frontovaja stogrammovka* illustrated in (34) is a very good example. It is used to refer to a 100-gram portion of vodka which used to be distributed daily to soldiers in the 1940s.

- (34) a. front-ov-aja sto-grammov-ka front-ADJ-F.SG 100-gram-кА.NOM.SG
 'a standard 100-gram portion of vodka for soldiers'
 - b. Prinjav... neskol'ko "frontovyx sto-grammov-ok", general having.taken few front 100-gram-ка.GEN.PL general rasslabilsja, podobrel. relaxed became.kinder

'Having drunk a few front 100-gram portions of vodka, the general got himself into a more relaxed and kind mood.' [proza.ru]

Crucially, portion uses are productive. A Google search reveals a range of contexts in which *stogrammovka* is used to refer neither to containers nor to concrete objects but to abstract portions (35), (36).

- (35) Context: 'We recommend to drink 200 grams of wine every day: one 100-gram portion in the afternoon and one 100-gram portion at night before going to bed.'
 Dva raza v nedelju večernjuju sto-grammov-ku zamenite two times in week evening 100-gram-кА.АСС.SG substitute orexovo-medovym-vinnym koktejlem. nut-honey-wine cocktail
 'Substitute the evening 100-gram portion with nut-honey-wine cocktail twice a week.'
- (36) Situation: calculating the caloric value of cooked dishes Prikinula obščij ves i podelila na sto-grammov-ki. estimated overall weight and divided on 100-gram-KA.ACC.PL
 'I estimated the overall weight and divided into 100-gram portions.'

[community.myfitnesspal.com]

6 Summary and implications

We have explored the semantics of complex measure nouns in Russian. I showed that complex measure nouns are not measure predicates expressing measure properties but genuine count nouns denoting sets of discrete entities. Assuming a disjointness-based semantics for count predicates following Rothstein (2010; 2011), Rothstein (2017), and Landman (2011; 2016), I argued that complex measure nouns are derived via a nominalization operation (expressed by the -KA suffix), which shifts intersective measure modifiers to predicates denoting disjoint entities that have the stated measure properties. We have seen that the proposed account correctly predicts that the range of possible interpretations of such nouns will include containers and free portions.

Aside from its intrinsic interest, this work contributes to our understanding of the semantics of measure in at least two ways: The first implication has to do with the semantics of measure phrases such as *three liters*. We have shown that complex measure nouns are best analyzed as being derived from intersective predicates. This supports the reality of measure predicates. In other words, the analysis brings evidence that measure pseudo-partitives such as *three liters of water* have the semantic composition in (37), with the numeral and the measure word forming a semantic unit which intersectively modifies the complement as argued in Rothstein (2009; 2011; 2017) and Landman (2004; 2016).

(37) (Three \circ liters) \cap water

We have also shown that -KA in measure nouns shifts non-count expressions to genuine count nouns. Crucially, -KA can be an explicit individuator which attaches to mass nouns and creates count predicates (Khrizman 2017) (38), (39).⁸ This supports analyses which treat measure expressions like *three liters* explicitly as mass expressions such as Khrizman et al. (2015) and Landman (2016).

- (38) a. šokolad šokolad-ka chocolate chocolate-ка.nom.sg
 'chocolate – a bar of chocolate'
 - b. železo želez-ka iron iron-ка.nom.sg
 'iron – a piece of iron'
- (39) a. pjať šokoladok/ #šokoladov five chocolate.ка.gen.pl chocolate.gen.pl
 'five bars of chocolate'
 - b. pjať železok/ #želez
 five iron.KA.GEN.PL iron.GEN.PL
 'five pieces of iron'

The second implication relates to the shifting mechanism in the counting and measuring expressions. It is well known that count nouns can shift to denote units of measure. Such shifts, as already mentioned in §4, occur in container nouns (Doetjes 1997; Landman 2004; Rothstein 2009 and others) as well as in other sortal nouns (40) (Rothstein 2017):

- (40) a. "That's about two busloads of people dying every day"
 - b. "...nine tablefuls of guests gathered for a Cantonese-inspired dinner banquet"
 - c. I have two classes (worth) of material prepared. (Rothstein 2017: 216f.)

⁸Here, -κA is used as a diminutive suffix. It has been shown that diminutive suffixes in Russian can function as individuating operators which attach to mass nouns and create count predicates as illustrated in (38) and as measure operators which assign measure properties to entities expressed by mass and count nouns and do not induce grammatical individuation (*dom – domik* 'a house – a small house', *dožd' – doždik* 'rain – light rain') (Khrizman 2017; 2019). Crucially, some suffixes, with -κA being among them, are ambiguous between the two uses (e.g., *šokolad – šokoladka* 'chocolate – a bar of chocolate' vs. *noga – nožka* 'a leg – a small leg') (Khrizman 2019).

Shifts from count nouns to measures have been well studied. They are productive semantic operations which occur in many languages including Hebrew (Rothstein 2009), Mandarin (Li 2013), Hungarian (Schvarcz 2014), and Russian (Partee & Borschev 2012; Khrizman 2016a,b). In some of these languages there are dedicated morpho-syntactic means to express such shifts, e.g. the *-nyi* suffix in Hungarian (Schvarcz 2014; 2017).

However, the converse shift, i.e. measure-to-count shifts have been neither studied nor described sufficiently. We have shown here that complex measure nouns in Russian instantiate a grammaticalization of such a shift which brings evidence that at least in some languages measure-to-count shifts are also linguistically real, productive operations.

Abbreviations

ACC	accusative	Μ	masculine
ADJ	adjective	NEG	negation
F	feminine	NOM	nominative
GEN	genitive	\mathbf{PL}	plural
INS	instrumental	SG	singular

Acknowledgements

This paper was very much inspired by the work of my advisor, teacher, and friend Susan Rothstein who died very untimely a few months after the first draft had been completed. This paper would not look the same had I not had the privilege to discuss it with her and get her sharp and, as it always was, professional, feedback. It was my last piece of work I had a chance to share with her. Therefore, I dedicate it to her memory.

Many thanks go to the audience of FDSL 2018 and the participants of The 8th Annual Semantics/Slavic Workshop at Bar Ilan University as well as three anonymous reviewers for comments on the earlier version of the paper. Also, I would like to thank Hana Filip for the productive discussion of some of the content.

The work on this paper was supported by a Rothschild Fellowship for Postdoctoral Researchers from the Yad Hanadiv Foundation and a grant from The Israel Science Foundation to Susan Rothstein.

References

- Casati, Roberto & Achille C. Varzi. 1999. Parts and places: The structures of spatial representation. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.
- Doetjes, Jenny. 1997. *Quantifiers and selection: On the distribution of quantifying expressions in French, Dutch and English.* Leiden: Leiden University PhD dissertation. http://hdl.handle.net/1887/19731.
- Filip, Hana & Peter Sutton. 2016. Mass/count variation: A mereological, twodimensional semantics. In Susan Rothstein & Jurgis Šķilters (eds.), *The Baltic international yearbook of cognition, logic and communication 11*, 1–45. Manhattan, KS: New Prairie Press. DOI:10.4148/1944-3676.1110
- Khrizman, Keren. 2014. Genitive case and aspect in Russian. In Cassandra Chapman, Olena Kit & Ivona Kučerová (eds.), Formal Approaches to Slavic Linguistics 22: The McMaster meeting 2013 (Michigan Slavic materials 60), 184–204. Ann Arbor, MI: Michigan Slavic Publications.
- Khrizman, Keren. 2016a. *Numerous issues in the semantics of numeral constructions in Russian.* Tel-Aviv: Bar Ilan University PhD dissertation.
- Khrizman, Keren. 2016b. Functional unit classifiers in non-classifier Russian. In Susan Rothstein & Jurgis Šķilters (eds.), *The Baltic international yearbook of cognition, logic and communication 11*, 1–48. Manhattan, KS: New Prairie Press. DOI:10.4148/1944-3676.1115
- Khrizman, Keren. 2017. An alternative approach to the diminutive meaning: Russian diminutives as counting and measuring operators. Colloquium talk. Semantics and pragmatics exchange. Heinrich-Heine University. December 6, 2017.
- Khrizman, Keren. 2019. Russian diminutives and the semantics of measure, size and individuation. Talk held at the Thursday Interdisciplinary Colloquium, Tel Aviv University, May 16, 2019.
- Khrizman, Keren, Fred Landman, Suzi Lima, Susan Rothstein & Brigitta R. Schvarcz. 2015. Portion readings are count readings, not measure readings. In Thomas Brochhagen, Floris Roelofsen & Nadine Theiler (eds.), *Proceedings of the 20th Amsterdam Colloquium*, 197–206. https://semanticsarchive.net/ Archive/mVkOTk2N/AC2015-proceedings.pdf.
- Khrizman, Keren & Susan Rothstein. 2015. Russian approximative inversion as a measure construction. In Gerhild Zybatow, Petr Biskup, Marcel Guhl, Claudia Hurtig, Olav Mueller-Reichau & Maria Yastrebova (eds.), *Slavic grammar from a formal perspective: The 10th anniversary FDSL conference, Leipzig*

2013 (Linguistik International 35), 259–272. Frankfurt am Main: Peter Lang. DOI:10.3726/978-3-653-05335-7

- Koptjevskaja-Tamm, Maria. 2001. "A piece of the cake" and "a cup of tea": Partitive and pseudo-partitive nominal constructions in the Circum-Baltic languages. In Östen Dahl & Maria Koptjevskaja-Tamm (eds.), *Circum-Baltic languages*. Vol. 2: *Grammar and typology* (Studies in Language Companion Series 55), 523–568. Amsterdam, Philadelphia: John Benjamins. DOI:10.1075/slcs.55.11kop
- Landman, Fred. 2004. *Indefinites and the type of sets* (Explorations in semantics 3). Malden, MA: Wiley-Blackwell. DOI:10.1002/9780470759318
- Landman, Fred. 2011. Count nouns mass nouns, neat nouns mess nouns. *The Baltic International Yearbook of Cognition, Logic and Communication* 6. 1–67. DOI:10.4148/biyclc.v6i0.1579
- Landman, Fred. 2016. Iceberg semantics for count nouns and mass nouns: Classifiers, measures and portions. *The Baltic International Yearbook of Cognition, Logic and Communication* 11. 1–48. DOI:10.4148/1944-3676.1107
- Li, XuPing. 2013. Numeral classifiers in Chinese: The syntax-semantics interface (Trends in Linguistics. Studies and Monographs 250). Berlin, New York: Mouton de Gruyter. DOI:10.1515/9783110289336
- Partee, Barbara H. & Vladimir Borschev. 2012. Sortal, relational, and functional interpretations of nouns and Russian container constructions. *Journal of Semantics* 29(4). 445–486. DOI:10.1093/jos/ffs009
- Pustejovsky, James. 1993. Type coercion and lexical selection. In James Pustejovsky (ed.), *Semantics and the lexicon* (Studies in Linguistics and Philosophy 49), 73–94. Dordrecht: Springer. DOI:10.1007/978-94-011-1972-6_6
- Rothstein, Susan. 2009. Individuating and measure readings of classifier constructions: Evidence from modern Hebrew. *Brill's Annual of Afroasiatic Languages and Linguistics* 1(1). 106–145. DOI:10.1163/187666309X12491131130783
- Rothstein, Susan. 2010. Counting, measuring and the mass-count distinction. *Journal of Semantics* 27(3). 343–397. DOI:10.1093/jos/ffq007
- Rothstein, Susan. 2011. Counting, measuring and the semantics of classifiers. Baltic International Yearbook of Cognition, Logic and Communication 6. 1–42. DOI:10.4148/biyclc.v6i0.1582
- Rothstein, Susan. 2017. Semantics for counting and measuring (Key Topics in Semantics and Pragmatics). Cambridge: Cambridge University Press. DOI:10.1017/9780511734830
- Schvarcz, Brigitta R. 2014. The Hungarians who say -nyi: Issues in counting and measuring in Hungarian. Tel-Aviv: Bar Ilan University MA thesis.

Schvarcz, Brigitta R. 2017. Measure constructions in Hungarian and the semantics of the *-nyi* suffix. In Harry van der Hulst & Anikó Lipták (eds.), *Papers from the 2015 Leiden Conference* (Approaches to Hungarian 15), 157–182. Amsterdam, Philadelphia: John Benjamins. DOI:10.1075/atoh.15.06sch

Schwarzschild, Roger. 2005. Measure phrases as modifiers of adjectives. *Recherches linguistiques de Vincennes* 34. 207–228. DOI:10.4000/rlv.1401

Townsend, Charles E. 1975. *Russian word-formation*. Cambridge, MA: Slavica Publishers.

Vinogradov, Viktor V. 1960. Grammatika russkogo jazyka. Akademija nauk SSSR.