

## Chapter 1

# The Alor-Pantar languages: Linguistic context, history and typology

Marian Klamer

This chapter presents an introduction to the Alor-Pantar languages, and to the chapters of the volume. It discusses the current linguistic ecology of Alor and Pantar, the history of research on the languages, presents an overview of the history of research in the area and describes the state of the art of the (pre-)history of speaker groups on the islands. A typological overview of the family is presented, followed by a discussion of specific sets of lexical items. Throughout the chapter I provide pointers to individual chapters of the volume that contain more detailed information or references.

## 1 Introduction

The languages of the Alor-Pantar (AP) family constitute a group of twenty Papuan languages spoken on the islands of Alor and Pantar, located just north of Timor, at the end of the Lesser Sunda island chain, roughly the islands east of Bali and west of New Guinea, see Figure 1. This outlier “Papuan” group is located some 1000 kilometers west of the New Guinea mainland. The term *Papuan* is used here as a cover term for the hundreds of languages spoken in New Guinea and its vicinity that are not Austronesian (Ross 2005: 15), and it is considered synonymous with non-Austronesian. The label *Papuan* says nothing about the genealogical ties between the languages.

The Alor-Pantar languages form a family that is clearly distinct from the Austronesian languages spoken on the islands surrounding Alor and Pantar, but much is still unknown about their history: Where did they originally come from? Are they related to other languages or language groups, and if so, to which






Figure 1: Alor and Pantar in Indonesia

ones? Typologically, the AP languages are also very different from their Austronesian neighbours, as their syntax is head-final rather than head-initial. They show an interesting variety of alignment patterns, and the family has some cross-linguistically rare features.

This volume studies the history and typology of the AP languages. Each chapter compares a set of AP languages by their lexicon, syntax or morphology, with the aim to uncover linguistic history and discover typological patterns that inform linguistic theory.

As an introduction to the volume, this chapter places the AP languages in their current linguistic context (§ 2), followed by an overview of the history of research in the area (§ 3). Then I describe the state of the art of the (pre-)history of speaker groups on Alor and Pantar (§ 4). A typological overview of the family is presented in § 5, followed by information on the lexicon in § 6. In § 7, I summarize the chapter and outline challenges for future research in the area. The chapter ends with a description of the empirical basis for the research that is reported in this volume (§ 8). Throughout this introduction, cross-references to the chapters

will be given, to enable the reader to focus on those chapters that s/he is most interested in.

## 2 Current linguistic situation on Alor and Pantar

There are approximately 20 indigenous Papuan languages spoken in the Alor-Pantar archipelago (§ 2.1) alongside one large indigenous Austronesian language commonly referred to as Alorese (§ 2.2). Virtually all speakers of these indigenous languages also speak the local Malay variety and/or the national language Indonesian on a regular basis for trade, education and governmental business (§ 2.3).

### 2.1 The Papuan languages of Alor and Pantar

The Papuan languages of Alor and Pantar as they are currently known are listed alphabetically in Table 1, and presented geographically on Figure 2. Together they form the Alor-Pantar family. The Alor-Pantar family forms a higher-order family grouping with the five Papuan languages spoken on Timor and Kisar, listed in Table 2 and presented geographically on Figure 3; together these languages constitute the Timor-Alor-Pantar family.

The language list in Table 1 is a preliminary one. In particular, it is likely that the central-eastern part of Alor, where Abui and Kamang are spoken, is linguistically richer than suggested by Table 1 and Figure 2. However, until a more principled survey of the area has been done, we stick with the labels Abui and Kamang, while acknowledging that there may be multiple languages within each of these regions.




Figure 2: The languages of the Alor-Pantar family, and Austronesian Alorese.

Table 1: The languages of the Alor-Pantar family.

Language <sup>†</sup>	ISO 639-3	Alternate Name(s)	Pop. <sup>‡</sup>	References (selected)
Abui (AB)	abz	Papuna	17000	Kratochvíl (2007)
Adang (AD)	adn		7000	Haan (2001); Robinson & Haan (2014)
Blagar (BL)	beu	Pura	10000	Steinhauer (2014)
Deing (DE)	–	Diang, Tewa	--	
Hamap (HM)	hmu		1300*	
Kabola (KB)	klz		3900*	Stokhof (1987)
Kaera (KE)	–		5500	Klamer (2014a)
Kafoa (KF)	kpu		1000*	Baird (to appear)
Kamang (KM)	woi	Woisika	6000	Stokhof (1977); Schapper (2014a)
Kiramang (KR)	kvd		4240*	
Klon (KL)	kyo	Kelon	5000	Baird (2008)
Kui (KI)	kvd		4240*	
Kula (KU)	tpg	Tanglapui	5000*	Williams & Donohue (to appear); Donohue (1996)
Nedebang (ND)	nec	Klamu	1380*	
Reta (RT)	ret	Retta	800	
Sar (SR)	--	Teiwa?	--	
Sawila (SW)	swt		3000	Kratochvíl (2014)
Teiwa (TW)	twe	Tewa	4000	Klamer (2010a)
Wersing (WE)	kvw	Kolana	3700*	Schapper & Hendery (2014)
Western Pantar (WP)	lev	Lamma, Tubbe, Mauta, Kalon- dama	10300 <sup>††</sup>	Holton (2010b, to appear)

<sup>†</sup>The abbreviations in brackets are used to refer to the languages in the historical comparative chapters by Holton & Robinson (this volume[a],[b]) and Schapper, Huber & van Engelenhoven (this volume). <sup>‡</sup>Population estimates from fieldworker and/or from the published source given; starred (\*) estimates from Lewis, Simons & Fennig (2013); an empty cell indicates that no number has been reported. <sup>††</sup>This figure is from census data (Badan Pusat Statistik 2005).

1 *The Alor-Pantar languages: Linguistic context, history and typology*

Table 2: The Papuan languages of Timor and Kisar.

Language	ISO 639-3	Alternate Name(s)	Pop.†	References (selected)
Bunaq	bfn	Buna(’), Bunak(e)	80.000*	Schapper (2010)
Fataluku	ddg		30000*	van Engelenhoven (2009, 2010)
Makalero	mkz	Maklere	6500*	Huber (2011)
Makasae	mkz	Makasae	70000*	Huber (2008)
Oirata	oia		1220*	de Josselin de Jong (1937)

†Population estimates from fieldworker and/or from the published source given; starred (\*) estimates from Lewis, Simons & Fennig (2013).




Figure 3: The Papuan languages of Timor-Alor-Pantar. (Areas where Austronesian languages are spoken are left white.)

Some of the language names of earlier works (e.g. Stokhof 1975, Grimes et al. 1997, Lewis, Simons & Fennig 2013) do not agree with what is presented here (see also § 3). One reason may be that a language variety may either be referred to by the name of the village where it is spoken, or by the name of the ancestor village of the major clan that speaks the language, or by the clan name. The list in Table 1 aims toward more ‘lumping’ than ‘splitting’. The traditional criterion of mutual intelligibility is extremely difficult to apply, as speakers of the languages have been in contact for extended periods of time, and being multi-lingual is the norm in this region.

For those languages which have been the subject of recent investigation a reference is included in the table to a grammar or grammatical sketch that is published, or is about to be published. Further references to published work on the languages are presented in § 3.

## 2.2 Indigenous Austronesian languages on Alor and Pantar

The major indigenous Austronesian language spoken on Alor and Pantar is Alorese, also referred to as *Bahasa Alor*, ‘Alor’, or ‘Coastal Alorese’. Klamer (2011) is a sketch of the language. Alorese has 25,000 speakers, who live in pockets along the coasts of western Pantar and the Kabola peninsula of Alor island, as well as on the islets Ternate and Buaya (Stokhof 1975:8-9, Grimes et al. 1997; Lewis 2009). There are reports that Alorese was used as the language of wider communication in the Alor-Pantar region until at least the mid 1970s (see Stokhof 1975:8), but as such it did not make inroads into the central mountainous areas of Pantar or Alor, and its lingua franca function may have been limited to Pantar and the Straits in between Pantar and Alor.

The vocabulary of Alorese is clearly (Malayo-Polynesian) Austronesian. On the basis of a short word list, Stokhof (1975:9) and Steinhauer (1993:645) suggest that the language spoken on the Alor and Pantar coasts is a dialect of Lamaholot. Lamaholot is an Austronesian language spoken on the islands west of Pantar: Lembata, Solor, Adonara, and East Flores.<sup>1</sup> Recent research however indicates that Alorese and Lamaholot show significant differences in lexicon as well as grammar: Alorese and Lamaholot share only 50-60% of their basic vocabulary, severely hindering mutual intelligibility; the languages have different sets of pronouns and different possessive constructions; and, most strikingly, Alorese lacks all the inflectional and derivational morphology that is present in

---

<sup>1</sup> Note that both Barnes (2001:275) and Blust (2009:82), Blust (2013:87) indicate that Lamaholot is spoken on the Alor and Pantar coasts; in actual fact this is Alorese (cf. Klamer 2011).

Lamaholot (Klamer 2011, 2012). The evidence clearly suggests that Alorese should be considered a language in its own right.

Oral history and ethnographic observations (Anonymous 1914; Lemoine 1969; Rodemeier 2006) report local traditions about non-indigenous, Austronesian groups arriving in the northern coastal parts of Pantar around 1,300 AD whose descendants colonized the coasts of north-western Pantar and west Alor. Some of the locations mentioned are home to speakers of Alorese.<sup>2</sup>

Apart from Alorese, there are also languages spoken by more recent Austronesian immigrants. For instance, Bajau (or Bajo) is the language of the nomadic communities located throughout most of Indonesia which are also referred to as ‘sea gypsies’ (cf. Verheijen 1986). There are reports that there has been a community of Bajau on Pantar since the early 1800s (Laura C. Robinson p.c.). One or more groups of Bajau came from Sulawesi, through Flores, and settled on the coast near Kabir, on Pantar island, in the 1950s. A second wave of Bajau speakers arrived from East Timor in 1999. Bajau communities are also found on Alor.

### 2.3 Indonesian and Alor Malay

Indonesian has been introduced relatively recently in the Alor-Pantar region, roughly correlating with the increasing number of Indonesian primary schools established in rural areas since the 1960s. Today, speakers of the Alor Pantar languages employ Indonesian and/or the local variety of Malay as language of trade, education, and governmental business.

The Alor Malay variety was already in use in the Alor-Pantar archipelago before standard Indonesian was introduced. Alor Malay is based on the Malay variety spoken in Kupang, the capital of the Indonesian province Nusa Tenggara Timur (NTT) which is located on Timor island (Jacob & Grimes 2003; Baird, Klamer & Kratochvíl Ms), though there are significant differences between the two, particularly in the pronouns.

Alor and Pantar were under (remote) Portuguese control till 1860, and Dutch colonial influence only became apparent in the first decades of the 20<sup>th</sup> century (see § 4). In 1945, van Gaalen reports that ‘[on the Kabola peninsula] the majority of the people can speak Malay’ (1945: 30). It was probably there, and in the main town Kalabahi that most of the (few) Dutch government schools were located. But the influence of the Dutch schools must have been fairly limited, because

---

<sup>2</sup> Pandai, Baranusa and Alor are locations where Alorese is spoken today. Hägerdal (2012: 38) cites evidence that Kui and Blagar were part of the league of princedoms with Pandai, Baranusa, and Alor.

in 1937 (after being a Dutch colony for over 60 years), only 7.5% of the children on Alor were going to school (2,089 out of a total population of 28,063 boys and girls) (van Gaalen 1945:24, 41a). Du Bois (1960:17) comments on the situation of Malay in schools in central Alor as being desolate, and notes in passing that in her research location Atimelang there were only about 20 boys who understood Malay (possibly implying that girls were not attending the school). The picture emerges that many areas in central and east Alor remained mostly unexposed to Malay. On the other hand, certain areas that were converted to Christianity before World War II may have been exposed to Malay earlier through the churches; this may have been the case in the Teiwa, Kaera and Western Pantar speaking areas in Pantar, and the Apui area in central Alor.

One result of the increasing use of Alor Malay and Indonesian by speakers of local Papuan languages is a rapidly on-going language shift from vernacular to languages of wider communication. None of the Alor-Pantar languages is “safe,” and most are definitely endangered, in that many children are not learning the language in the home. Local languages are not used or taught in schools, as primary school teachers often have a different language background, and orthographies and dictionaries have only recently been produced for some of the languages. Language shift to Indonesian/Malay is often accelerated by urbanization and the practice of schooling children in urban centers away from their home vernacular language areas. For instance, until recently children from Pantar or east Alor went to senior high school (SMA) in Kalabahi or Kupang; only recently did Pantar get its own SMA schools, in Kabir and Baranusa. Language attitudes play an additional role in the shift to Indonesian, as the local languages lack prestige value.

### 3 History of research on the Alor and Pantar languages

Initial anthropological and linguistic work on Alor was carried out by Du Bois (1960 [1944]) and Nicolspeyer (1940), both working in the Abui area in central Alor. Between 1970 and 2000, research based at Leiden University resulted in a number of publications on Alor and Pantar languages. Stokhof (1975) is a 100-item word list of 17 Alor-Pantar varieties. Stokhof published language materials on Kamang, which he referred to as *Woisika* (Stokhof 1977, 1978, 1979, 1982, 1983), on Abui (Stokhof 1984) and on Kabola (Stokhof 1987). Publications on Blagar are by Steinhauer (1977, 1991, 1993, 1995, 1999, 2010, 2012, 2014). Outside of Leiden, Donohue published an article on Kula (Donohue 1996), and the *Badan (or Pusat) Pengembangan dan Pembinaan Bahasa* (‘Centre for Language Development and



Construction’) based in Jakarta, produced some survey work on the languages of Alor (Martis et al. 2000). A grammar of Adang was completed by a native speaker of the language (Haan 2001). Between 2003 and 2007, research took place in Pantar and the western part of Alor, through a project at Leiden University that was funded with a grant from the Netherlands Organisation of Scientific Research.<sup>3</sup> Results of this project include work on Klon (Baird 2005, 2008, 2010), Kafoa (Baird to appear), Abui (Kratochvíl 2007; Kratochvíl & Delpada 2008a,b; Klamer & Kratochvíl 2006; Klamer & Ewing 2010; Kratochvíl 2011a,b), Teiwa (Klamer 2010a,b,c; Klamer & Kratochvíl 2006; Klamer 2011, 2012), Kaera (Klamer 2010b, 2014b), Sawila (Kratochvíl 2014) and Alorese (Klamer 2011, 2012). At the same time, Gary Holton from the University of Alaska Fairbanks, documented Western Pantar (Holton 2008, 2010b, 2011; Holton & Lamma Koly 2008; Holton to appear, 2014), with funding from the US National Science Foundation, the US National Endowment for the Humanities, and the Endangered Language Documentation Programme.

In 2009, a fund from the European Science Foundation enabled a further research project on Alor-Pantar languages, now involving a group of seven researchers from the University of Alaska Fairbanks, the University of Surrey, and Leiden University. The chapters in the present volume all report on research carried out between 2009-2013 as part of this latest project.

## 4 History of Alor and Pantar languages and their speakers

### 4.1 Prehistory

The Papuan languages of Alor and Pantar all belong to a single genealogical grouping or family (Holton et al. 2012; Holton & Robinson this volume[a]), which spread over the two islands several millennia ago. Together with the Papuan languages of Timor (cf. Table 2 above) the Alor Pantar (AP) languages (cf. Table 1 above) form the Timor Alor Pantar (TAP) family (Schapper, Huber & van Engelenhoven this volume). Whenever this volume refers to the Alor-Pantar family, it must be kept in mind that this family is a subgroup of the TAP family.

One hypothesis holds that the Timor-Alor-Pantar family is a sub-branch of the Trans-New Guinea family. That is, it ultimately descends from immigrants from the New Guinea highlands who arrived in the Lesser Sundas 4,500-4,000 Before Present (BP) (Bellwood 1997: 123, Ross 2005: 42, Pawley 2005). However, recent

---

<sup>3</sup> Innovative research (“Vernieuwingsimpuls”) project *Linguistic variation in Eastern Indonesia: The Alor-Pantar project*, led by Marian Klamer at Leiden University.

historical comparative research (Robinson & Holton 2012; Holton & Robinson this volume[b]) shows little lexical evidence to support an affiliation with the Trans New-Guinea languages (cf. Wurm, Voorhoeve & McElhanon 1975; Ross 2005).

Another hypothesis holds that the Papuans in the Lesser Sundas descend from arrivals 20,000 BP (Summerhayes 2007). While this possibility cannot be excluded, the level of lexical and grammatical similarity in the AP family does not support an age of more than several millennia, and the reconstructed vocabulary of proto-AP appears to contain Austronesian loan words such as 'betel nut' (Holton et al. 2012; Robinson to appear). Ancient Austronesian loans found across the Alor-Pantar family following regular sound changes suggest that the AP family split up after being in contact with the Austronesian languages in the area. As the Austronesians are commonly assumed to have arrived in the area ~3,000 BP (Pawley 2005:100, Spriggs 2011), this would give the Alor-Pantar family a maximum age of ~3,000 years.

As yet, no archeological data on the Alor-Pantar archipelago is available. Archeological research in Indonesia has been largely determined by the aim to trace the Austronesian dispersal through the archipelago, with a focus on the western islands Borneo, Sulawesi and Java (Mahirta 2006).<sup>4</sup> What archeological evidence we have on the Lesser Sunda islands relates to large islands such as Flores and Timor, and it suggests that the large islands were settled by Austronesians prior to smaller and more isolated islands such as Pantar and Alor.

Archaeological and anthropological studies in East Timor (O'Connor 2003, 2007; McWilliam 2007) show that the chronology of Papuan and Austronesian influence can differ by location, and that populations that now speak a Papuan language may have been Austronesian originally. Similarly, Austronesian languages may have been adopted by originally Papuan speakers.

Human genetic studies support a connection between populations of the Lesser Sundas with Papuan populations of New Guinea and Austronesians from Asia (Lansing et al. 2011; Xu et al. 2012). The Papuan (or "Melanesian"-)Asian admixture is estimated to have begun about 5,000 years BP in the western part of eastern Indonesia, decreasing to 3,000 years BP in the eastern part. This associates the Papuan-Asian admixture with Austronesian expansion (Xu et al. 2012). Debate is ongoing on the importance and details of the Austronesian expansion

---

<sup>4</sup> The most important site in eastern Indonesia is Liang Bua in central Flores (Morwood et al. 2004), located several hundreds of kilometers west of Pantar. In mid-2012 a site was opened in Pain Haka, east Flores (investigators Simanjuntak, Galipaud, Buckley). Results are expected towards the end of 2015.

in Island Southeast Asia, but consensus exists that eastern Indonesia shows a “complex migration history” (Lansing et al. 2011:263).

#### 4.2 Historical records on Alor and Pantar

To date, few if any records exist on the history of the Papuan groups of Alor and Pantar. Most of the written historical records refer to the large neighboring islands of Flores and Timor, and to contacts between groups on Flores and Timor on the one hand, and the coastal populations of Pantar and Alor on the other (Barnes 1996; de Roever 2002; Steenbrink 2003; Hägerdal 2010a,b, 2011, 2012 and references). It is very likely that these coastal populations were the Austronesian Alorese (§ 2.2).

One of the earliest written records is a Portuguese missionary text written after 1642, where Pantar (referred to as “Galiyao”<sup>5</sup>) is mentioned as a place inhabited by pagans and Muslims, together with Lewotolok and Kedang on Lembata island, located west of Pantar. Alor (referred to as “Malua”) is described as an unattractive place, with few opportunities for trade and a pagan cannibal population (Hägerdal 2012:101). It is certain that in ancient times there was traffic back and forth between Alor, Pantar, Timor, and the islands west of Pantar: traders in Kalikur, a port in north Lembata, heard from Alor traders about famous Timorese warriors who were brought to Kedang, also in north Lembata, to suppress villages of the island’s interior (Barnes 1974:10.12, Le Roux 1929:14).

Ships of the Dutch East India Company (VOC) rarely ventured to Pantar and Alor. It was traders from Portugal who bought local products in exchange for iron, cutlasses, and axes (van Galen; see Hägerdal 2010b: 17). In the early 18<sup>th</sup> century, Portugal attempted to establish a base on Alor. Some fifty black Portuguese soldiers (originally from Africa) travelled from Larantuka in East Flores, landed in Pandai (north Pantar) in 1717 and built a church and a settlement there (Coolhaas 1979: 297, Rodemeier 2006: 78). The Portuguese made some “treaties” with local rulers, but their influence remained limited to some coastal regions in north Pantar and west Alor.<sup>6</sup>

---

<sup>5</sup> Linguistic research on Pantar by Holton (2010a) has shown that Galiyao is used in various local Papuan languages as the indigenous name for the island of Pantar. The name originates from Western Pantar *Gale Awa*, literally ‘living body’. “The appropriateness of this name is evidenced by the presence of an active volcano which dominates southern Pantar. This volcano regularly erupts, often raining ash and pyroclastic flows onto villages of the region. Even when it is not erupting, the volcano ominously vents sulfur gas and smoke from its crater. In a very real sense, the volcano is a living body.” (Holton 2010a). For discussions of how the term Galiyao refers to (parts of) Pantar, see Le Roux (1929:47); Barnes (1982:407); Dietrich (1984); Rodemeier (1995); Barnes (2001:277); Rodemeier (2006).

<sup>6</sup> The Portuguese “[handed] out Portuguese flags to some coastal rulers, among others those of Koei, Mataroe, Batoelolong, Kolana” (van Gaalen 1945:2).

The Alor archipelago was part of an areal trade network. For example, in 1851, every year more than 100 vessels came to the island, with traders from Buton and Kupang (buying rice and corn), as well as Bugis and Makassar (buying wax) (van Lynden 1851: 333). In 1853, the Portuguese gave up their claim on the Alor archipelago in exchange for the Dutch Pulau Kambing (currently known as the island Ataúru), located just north of Dili in East Timor. However, the Kui speaking areas on the southern coast of Alor remained in close contact with the Portuguese, thus prompting a Dutch military action in 1855, when the Dutch steamship *Vesuvius* destroyed the Kui village with its guns (Hägerdal 2010b:18019). Overall, however, the Dutch involvement with Alor remained limited for decades. The Dutch stationed a *Posthouder* ('post holder') at the mouth of the Kabola bay around 1861 and basically left it at that.

Only in 1910, under Governor-General Van Heutz, did the Dutch start a military campaign to put local rulers under Dutch control. Until 1945, there were regular revolts from local rulers (see the reports in van Gaalen 1945: 2-9). Today, the Dutch cultural influence is most visible in the town of Kalabahi and in the Kabola peninsula.

Chinese traders have been active in the area since the end of the 19<sup>th</sup> century (Du Bois 1960:16). These traders have likely arrived from Kupang or more remote communities, bringing with them Kupang Malay or trade Malay. Nicolspeyer (1940: 1) reports that by the late 1930s there was a 200 member strong Chinese trader community in Kalabahi engaged in the production and trade of copra. The relationship between the Chinese community and the local population must have been friendly, judging from the oral accounts of mountain population offering Chinese people refuge during the Japanese occupation in World War II.

In contrast, Nicolspeyer (1940: 8) describes the trade relations between the highlanders and the coastal populations - likely to be Alorese - in west and central Alor as mutually distrusting and hostile. Traditionally, the Alorese clans exchanged fish and woven cloth for food crops with the inland populations (cf. Anonymous 1914: 76,81-82). Given the small size of individual Alorese clans - Anonymous (1914: 89-90) mentions settlements of only 200-300 people - , they probably exchanged women with the exogamous Papuan populations around them, or bought them as slaves.

In the east of Alor, there was contact with populations on Ataúru and Timor. Until 1965 it was not uncommon to sail from the southern coast of Alor to Ataúru island on fishing trips, and people report that this still happens today. The oral accounts of these contacts are supported by genealogies and origin myths, as well as by a number of Portuguese loanwords such as the Sawila verb *siribisi* originat-

ing in the Portuguese *serviso* ‘work’ (Kratochvíl, field notes). In addition, many songs in central-east Alor mention place names such as Likusaen and Maubara, which are located in the north of Timor (Wellfelt & Schapper 2013).

In 1965-1966, hundreds and possibly thousands of highlanders in Alor and Pantar were marched to Kalabahi and killed by the Indonesian forces and associated vigilantes after the alleged communist coup. Oral accounts of the atrocities still circulate among the population and the terror is palpable whenever such accounts are shared.

### 4.3 Contact

All of the Alor-Pantar languages show some traces of contact with Austronesian languages, but in general, borrowing from Austronesian has not been very intense. Contact with Malay and Indonesian is a relatively recent phenomenon in most of the Alor-Pantar languages. Comparing ~160 vocabulary items in 13 AP languages, Robinson (to appear) finds Austronesian loan percentages to range between 4.2% (in Western Pantar) and 9.5% (in Blagar and Adang), while the majority of AP languages have only 6-7% of Austronesian loans.<sup>7</sup>

Of course, lexical borrowing within the Alor-Pantar family occurs as well. An example is Western Pantar *bagis* ‘to wail’, borrowed from Deing *bagis* ‘to cry’ (Holton & Robinson this volume[a]). In situations where speakers of sister languages are also geographical neighbors and in contact with each other, it is however exceedingly difficult to distinguish loans from cognates.

## 5 Typological overview

This section presents a general overview of the structural features of the Alor-Pantar languages. The aim is to introduce the reader to their phonology, morphology and syntax, pointing out patterns that are cross-linguistically common and patterns that are rare. Where appropriate, I refer to chapters in this volume for further discussion or illustration.

### 5.1 Phonology

The sizes of the vowel and consonant inventories of the AP languages are transitional between the smaller vowel systems and large consonant systems of insular

---

<sup>7</sup> To put this into context, roughly 7% of English vocabulary on a comparable list is borrowed from French.

Table 3: Reconstructed proto-Alor-Pantar consonant inventory

	Labial	Apical	Palatal	Velar	Uvular	Glottal
Stop	p b	t d		k g	q	
Fricative		s				h
Nasal	m	n				
Glide	w		j			
Liquid		l (r)				

Southeast Asia, and the more complex vowel systems but much more reduced consonant inventories to the east, in the wider New Guinea/Oceania region (cf. Hajek 2010).

The vowel systems in Alor-Pantar involve the five cardinal vowels, possibly adding distinctions in mid vowels (e.g. Klon, Adang) and/or in length (e.g. Teiwa, Abui, Kamang). The proto-Alor-Pantar consonant inventory (Holton et al. 2012; Holton & Robinson this volume[a]) is shown in Table 3.

If it is the case that Papuan languages usually lack a distinction between /r/ and /l/ (Foley 1986, see § 5.8), then the languages of Timor, Alor and Pantar are atypical in universally distinguishing /r/ from /l/. At least two liquids must be reconstructed to proto-Timor-Alor-Pantar, the immediate parent of pAP. Interestingly, however, \*r and \*l occur in complementary distribution in the reconstructed phonology of proto-AP (Holton et al. 2012).

Within the Alor-Pantar family, consonant inventories are largest in Pantar, where Teiwa has 20 consonants, and Western Pantar has 16 consonants plus 11 geminates. The inventories decrease in size towards the eastern part of Alor, where Abui has 16 (native) consonants, and Kamang has 14.

While the consonant inventories of the AP languages are rather similar to each other, some variation is found in the number of fricatives and nasals. In Pantar we find consonants unique to the family: the Western Pantar geminate stops, the Teiwa pharyngeal fricative /ħ/, the Teiwa uvular stop /q/, the Kaera velar fricative /x/, and the Blagar implosive voiced bilabial stop /b/. The pharyngeal fricative in particular is cross-linguistically rather uncommon (found in 2.4% of the languages of Maddieson's (2005) sample).

Overall, the AP languages are syntactically right-headed (see also chapter 4). Basic transitive clauses are verb-final, with Agent-Patient-Verb (APV) and Subject-Verb (SV) order. A refers to the more agent-like argument of a transitive verb,

P to the more patient-like argument of a transitive verb, and S to the single argument of an intransitive verb.<sup>8</sup> (1) shows an intransitive clause followed by a transitive one. PAV is a pragmatically motivated variant in many of the Alor-Pantar languages.

- (1) Teiwa (Klamer 2010a:25)<sup>9</sup>
- |            |          |           |             |             |               |                       |
|------------|----------|-----------|-------------|-------------|---------------|-----------------------|
| S          | V        | V         | V           | A           | P             | V                     |
| <i>Qau</i> | <i>a</i> | <i>ta</i> | <i>ewar</i> | <i>mis.</i> | <i>Mis-an</i> | <i>a ta man pi'i.</i> |
| good       | 3SG      | TOP       | return      | sit         | sit-REAL      | 3SG TOP grass twine   |
- ‘So she sits down again. Sitting, she twines grass.’

In adpositional phrases, postpositions follow their complement, as illustrated in § 5.7 below. Clausal negators follow the predicate:

- (2) Kaera (Klamer 2014a)
- |             |             |           |              |
|-------------|-------------|-----------|--------------|
| <i>Gang</i> | <i>masu</i> | <i>ma</i> | <i>bino.</i> |
| 3SG         | maybe       | come      | NEG          |
- ‘He may not come.’

In nominal phrases, determiners such as articles and demonstratives follow the noun (see Klamer et al. this volume). All AP languages have clause-final conjunctions; often these are combined with clause-initial ones, as shown in (3), where clause final *a* ‘and’ combines with clause-initial *xabi* ‘then’:

- (3) Kaera (Klamer 2014a)
- |              |                |           |               |             |                 |             |            |           |               |
|--------------|----------------|-----------|---------------|-------------|-----------------|-------------|------------|-----------|---------------|
| <i>Gang</i>  | <i>ge-topi</i> | <i>gu</i> | <i>med a,</i> | <i>xabi</i> | <i>mampelei</i> | <i>utug</i> | <i>met</i> | <i>mi</i> | <i>kunang</i> |
| 3SG          | 3SG.ALIEN-hat  | that      | take and then | mango       | three           | take        | LOC        | children  |               |
| <i>masik</i> | <i>namung</i>  | <i>gu</i> | <i>gi-ng.</i> |             |                 |             |            |           |               |
| male         | PL             | that      | 3PL-give      |             |                 |             |            |           |               |
- ‘He takes that hat of his and then takes three mangoes to give to the boys.’

## 5.2 Pronominal indexing and morphological alignment

The term ‘pronominal indexing’ is used here (and in Fedden & Brown this volume) to describe a structure where there is a pronominal affix on the verb and

<sup>8</sup> A, P and S are used as comparative concepts here, where A is the most Agent-like argument of a transitive clause, P is the least Agent-like of transitive clause, and S is the single argument of an intransitive clause (cf. Comrie 1989; Haspelmath 2011).

<sup>9</sup> Teiwa orthography follows IPA symbols, except: *q=/q/, x=/ħ/, ’=/ʔ/, f=ϕ, y=/j/, ng =/ŋ/.*

a co-referential Noun Phrase (NP) or free pronoun occur optionally in the same clause.

The pronominal indices found on the verbs in the Alor-Pantar languages are all very similar in form, pointing to a common historical origin. They are reconstructed for pAP as in Table 4 (see Holton & Robinson this volume(a),(b); Schapper, Huber & van Engelenhoven this volume). The initial consonant encodes person, and the vowels *a* and *i* encode singular and plural number.

All AP languages distinguish inclusive from exclusive forms. All the modern AP languages also have reflexes of pAP \**ta-*, a prefix with a common or impersonal referent (compare *one* in English *One should consider this*), and a reading that is often distributive or reflexive (*each one, each other*). In Table 4, this prefix is grouped with the singular forms because it carries the singular theme vowel *a*

Going from west to east, we find increasingly complex systems of grammatical relations involving multiple paradigms of pronominal indexes. For example, Teiwa (Pantar) has one paradigm of object prefixes (which is almost identical to the pAP paradigm in Table 4), Klon in western Alor has three paradigms (Table 5), and Abui (central Alor) has five (Table 6). Prefix with the theme vowel *e* reflect the pAP genitive; prefixes with the theme vowel *o* occur in several languages of Alor where they have a locative function.

In AP languages, the use of these different pronominal sets is not so much determined by the grammatical role of their referent (e.g. being an object or a subject), but is mostly triggered by semantic factors. Most Alor-Pantar languages index P on the verb, and not A, as in (4a-b). A and S are typically expressed as free lexical NPs or pronouns. Cross-linguistically, this is an uncommon pattern; it occurs in only 7% of Siewierska’s (2013) sample.

Table 4: Reconstructed pAP P-indexing pronominal verb prefixes

1SG	* <i>na-</i>
2SG	* <i>ha-</i>
3SG	* <i>ga-</i>
COMMON/DISTRIBUTIVE	* <i>ta-</i>
1PL.EXCL	* <i>ni-</i>
1PL.INCL	* <i>pi-</i>
2PL	* <i>hi-</i>
3PL	* <i>gi-</i>



Table 5: Klon prefixes (Baird 2008:69, 39).

	I	II	III
1SG	<i>n-</i>	<i>ne-</i>	<i>no-</i>
2SG	<i>V-/ Ø-</i>	<i>e-</i>	<i>o-</i>
3	<i>g-</i>	<i>ge-</i>	<i>go-</i>
1PL.EX	<i>ng-</i>	<i>nge-</i>	<i>ngo-</i>
1PL.IN	<i>t-</i>	<i>te-</i>	<i>to-</i>
2PL	<i>i-</i>	<i>ege-</i>	<i>ogo-</i>
RECP	<i>t-</i>	<i>te-</i>	<i>to-</i>

Table 6: Abui prefixes (Kratochvíl 2007:78,Kratochvíl 2011b:591).

	I (PAT)	II (LOC)	III (REC)	IV (BEN)	V (GOAL)
1SG	<i>na-</i>	<i>ne-</i>	<i>no-</i>	<i>nee-</i>	<i>noo-</i>
2SG	<i>a-</i>	<i>e-</i>	<i>o-</i>	<i>ee-</i>	<i>oo-</i>
3	<i>ha-</i>	<i>he-</i>	<i>ho-</i>	<i>hee-</i>	<i>hoo-</i>
1PL.EX	<i>ni-</i>	<i>ni-</i>	<i>nu-</i>	<i>nii-</i>	<i>nuu-</i>
1PL.IN	<i>pi-</i>	<i>pi-</i>	<i>pu-</i>	<i>pii-</i>	<i>puu-</i>
2PL	<i>ri-</i>	<i>ri-</i>	<i>ru-</i>	<i>rii-</i>	<i>ruu-</i>
DISTR	<i>ta-</i>	<i>te-</i>	<i>to-</i>	<i>tee-</i>	<i>too-</i>

(4) Teiwa (Klamer, fieldnotes)

- a. *Na Maria g-ua'*  
1SG Maria 3SG-hit  
'I hit Maria.'
- b. *Na g-ua'*  
1sg 3sg-hit  
'I hit him/her.'

One of the factors determining the indexing of P is animacy. For instance, when the P of the Teiwa verb *mar* 'take' is inanimate, it is not indexed on the verb, (5a), but when it is animate, it is indexed (5b). That is, while a verbal prefix in an Alor-Pantar language typically indexes P, not every P is always indexed on a verb.

(5) Teiwa (Klamer 2010a:91)

*Na ga'an mar.*  
1SG 3SG take  
'I take / get it.'

(6) *Na ga-mar.*

1SG 3SG-take  
'I follow him/her.'

In Abui, the different prefixes roughly correspond to semantically different P's. For example, in (7)-(11) the P is a patient, location, recipient, benefactive and goal, and the shape of the prefix varies accordingly.

(7) Abui (Kratochvíl 2007:592)

*Na a-ruidi*  
1SG 2SG.PAT-wake.up  
'I woke you up.'

(8) Abui (Kratochvíl 2007:592)

*Di palootang mi ne-l bol.*  
3 rattan take 1SG.LOC-give hit  
'He hit me with a rattan (stick).'

(9) Abui (Kratochvíl 2007:592)

*Fanmalei no-k yai.*  
Fanmalei 1SG.REC-throw laugh  
'Fanmalei laughed at me.'

- (10) Abui (Kratochvíl 2007:592)  
*Ma ne ee-bol.*  
 be.PROX 1SG 2SG.BEN-hit  
 ‘Let me hit instead of (i.e. for) you.’

- (11) Abui (Kratochvíl 2007:592)  
*Simon di noo-dik.*  
 Simon 3 1SG.GOAL-prick  
 ‘Simon is poking me.’

In some AP languages (for instance, Abui, Kamang, and Klon) S arguments are also indexed on the verbs. Such arguments are usually more affected and less volitional, although individual languages differ in which semantic factors apply (Fedden & Brown this volume; Fedden et al. 2014). Also, lexical verb classes often play a role in the indexing of arguments.

Apart from the multiple ways to index P, there is also variation in the morphological alignment type of AP languages. Alignment in the AP languages is defined here relative to pronominal indexing.

The prefixes are either used in a syntactic (accusative) alignment system, or in a semantic alignment (‘Split-S’) system. Accusative alignment is defined here as the alignment where S and A are treated alike as opposed to P. Teiwa, Kaera, Blagar and Adang have accusative alignment, only indexing P, while S and A are free forms. An illustration is Blagar, where the same pronoun *?ana* ‘3SG’ can encode A (12) or S (13), and P is prefixed on the verb (12).

- (12) Blagar (Steinhauer 2014:208)  
*?ana uruhiŋ aru ?-atapa-t imina*  
 3SG deer two 3-shoot.with.arrow-LIM die  
 ‘S/he killed two deer with bow and arrow.’

- (13) Blagar (Steinhauer 2014:173)  
*?ana mi bihi*  
 3SG in run  
 ‘He/she/it runs in it.’

In Klon, however, the P prefix can also be used to index S, depending on the class the verb belongs to: one class of verbs always aligns S with A (resulting in accusative alignment), another class always aligns S with P, and a third class of verbs encodes S either as A (free pronoun) or as P (prefix), depending on its affectedness: compare (14a) and (14b).

(14) Klon (Baird 2008:8)

- a. *A kaak*  
2SG itchy  
'You're itchy.'
- b. *E-kaak*  
2SG.II-itchy  
'You're itchy (and affected).'

Western Pantar also allows its P-prefix to index S, compare (15) and (16). Some verbs, such as *diti* 'stab' in (17)-(18) allow an alternation in the coding of a P or S with either a prefix or a free pronoun, with a difference in the degree of affectedness resulting.

(15) Western Pantar (Holton 2010b:105-106)

*Gang na-niaka.*  
3SG 1SG-see  
'S/he saw me.'

(16) Western Pantar (Holton 2010b:105-106)

*Nang na-lama ta.*  
1SG 1SG-descend IPFV  
'I'm going.'

(17) Western Pantar (Holton 2010b:105-106)

*Nang ga-diti.*  
1SG 3SG-stab  
'I stabbed him.' (superficially)

(18) Western Pantar (Holton 2010b:105-106)

*Nang gaing diti.*  
1SG 3SG stab  
'I stabbed him.' (severely)

Abui and Kamang are often found to index S by use of a prefix. The choice of prefix is determined by a mix of factors, such as the level of affectedness or volitionality of the argument (Fedden et al. 2013, 2014; see Fedden & Brown this volume).

A pattern where two arguments are indexed on a transitive verb is found in Abui, illustrated in (19)-(20). Unlike what would be expected, these are not transitive constructions expressing actions involving an affix for A and for P, but rather experience constructions where both affixes encode a P.

- (19) Abui (Kratochvíl 2011b:615)  
*Sieng ma he-noo-maran-i*  
rice cooked 3.LOC-1SG.GOAL-COME.up.COMPL-PFV  
'I am satiated with the rice.'
- (20) Abui (Kratochvíl 2011b:617)  
*Hen hee-na-minang*  
that 3.BEN-1SG.PAT-remember  
'I remembered that.'

In sum, free pronouns exist alongside verbal affixes that index person and number of verbal arguments. There is significant variation in the choice of participant that is indexed on the verb. The Alor-Pantar languages are typologically unusual in that they index P but not A, and some of them have rich inventories of prefixes differentiating different types of P.

### 5.3 Possession

Possession is marked by prefixes on nouns. There are parallels with the argument indexing on verbs, particularly because inalienable possession usually involves possessors linearly preceding the possessed noun in the same way that arguments linearly precede the verb.

In all AP languages, possessive structures with alienable nouns are distinguished from possessive constructions with inalienable nouns. For example, in Abui, distinct possessive prefixes are used to encode alienable and inalienable possession, (21). In Abui, inalienable possessive prefixes have the theme vowel *a*, and alienable possessive prefixes have the theme vowel *e*.

(21) Abui (Kratochvíl 2007)

- a. *na-min*  
1SG.INAL-nose  
'my nose'
- b. *ne-fala*  
1SG.ALIEN-house  
'my house'

Prefixes with the vowel *a* reflect the proto-AP P-indexing morpheme (Table 4) while prefixes with the vowel *e* reflect the pAP genitive prefix (cf. the prefixes with theme vowels *e* in Klon (Table 5) and Abui (Table 6).

In Teiwa, the difference between alienable and inalienable possession is expressed in a different manner: by optional versus obligatory use of the same (*a*-vowel) prefix, (22):

(22) Teiwa (Klamer 2010a:192)

- a. *na-yaf*  
1SG.POSS-house  
'my house'
- b. *yaf*  
house  
'(a) house, houses'
- c. *na-tan*  
1SG.POSS-hand  
'my hand'
- d. *tan*  
hand  
not good for '(a) hand, hands'

The variation in the treatment of the alienable-inalienable distinction across the AP family is summarized in Table 7. (Different subscripts indicate different paradigms in a single language.)

Table 7: Encoding of alienable and inalienable possessors in some AP languages.

Location	Language name	Alienable possessor	Inalienable possessor
Pantar	Western Pantar	free form	prefix
	Teiwa	optional prefix <sub>a</sub>	obligatory prefix <sub>a</sub>
	Kaera	prefix <sub>a</sub>	prefix <sub>b</sub>
Pantar	Blagar	free form	prefix
Straits			
Alor	Klon	free form	prefix <sub>a</sub> , prefix <sub>b</sub>
	Abui	prefix <sub>a</sub>	prefix <sub>b</sub>
	Kamang	prefix <sub>a</sub>	prefix <sub>b</sub>

#### 5.4 Plural number words

The Alor-Pantar languages exhibit a typologically unusual pattern (Dryer 2011) whereby nominal plurality is indicated via a separate number word; ‘A morpheme whose meaning and function is similar to that of plural affixes in other languages’ (Dryer 1989). An illustration is Teiwa *non* in (23b).

(23) Teiwa (Klamer, Teiwa corpus)

- a. *Qavif ita'a ma gi?*  
 goat where OBL go  
 ‘Where did the goat(s) go?’
- b. *Qavif non ita'a ma gi?*  
 goat PL where OBL Go  
 ‘Where did the (several) goats go?’; NOT \*‘Where did the goat go?’

Plural number words are found across Alor-Pantar and the cognates found across the family suggest that pAP had a plural number word \*non. Across the AP family, there is significant variation in form, syntax and semantics of the plural number word as described in Klamer, Schapper & Corbett (this volume).

#### 5.5 Serial verb constructions

Serial verb constructions (SVCs) are analyzed here as two or more verbs that occur together in a single clause under a single intonation contour. They share

minimally one argument, and their shared argument(s) is (are) expressed maximally once. SVCs are distinguished from bi-clausal constructions by the presence of a clause boundary marker in between the clauses in the latter (a conjunction-like element, an intonational break, or a pause). The verbs in a SVC share aspect marking.

The semantic contrast between a mono-clausal construction with an SVC and a biclausal construction is illustrated by the minimally contrasting pair of Teiwa sentences in (24). Monoclausal (24a) expresses through an SVC the intransitive event of someone who died because he fell down (e.g. from a coconut tree). The biclausal construction in (19b) describes two events in clauses that are linked by the conjunction *ba*: someone is dying (e.g. because of a heart attack) and is falling down (e.g. out of a tree) as a result of this. No such conjunction-like element would occur between the verbs constituting an SVC.

(24) Teiwa (Klamer 2010a:305)

- a. *A ta min-an ba*’.  
3SG TOP die-REAL fall.down  
‘He died falling down.’
- b. *A ta min-an ba ba*’.  
3SG TOP die-REAL CONJ fall.down  
‘He died then fell down.’

SVCs are frequently attested in all AP languages, and they express a wide range of notions, including direction (24a), manner (25), and aspect (26).

(25) Western Pantar (Holton 2014:82)

- Habbang mau aname horang sauke-yabe.*  
village there person make.noise dance-lego.lego  
‘Over there in the village people are making noise dancing lego-lego.’

(26) Teiwa (Klamer 2010a:358)

- A bir-an gi awan awan tas-an gula*’...  
3SG run-REAL go far.away far.away stand-REAL finish  
‘She ran far away [and] stood [still]...’

SVCs in AP languages also serve to introduce event participants, for example in clauses that express a ‘give’-event. This is due to the fact that the AP languages generally lack a class of simple ditransitive root verbs. (Some of the languages



have one ditransitive verb, the verb ‘give’.) ‘Give’ events involving three participants (actor, recipient, and theme) are typically expressed by means of biclausal or serial verb constructions involving the monotransitive verbs ‘take’ and ‘give’. ‘Take’ introduces the theme, ‘give’ the recipient, and the clausal sequence or serial verb construction in which the verbs appear is then [actor [theme ‘take’] [recipient ‘give’]]. In some of the AP languages (e.g. Kamang) the verb ‘take’ has been semantically bleached and syntactically reduced to a light verb or a postposition-like element which encodes oblique constituents.

The AP ‘give’ constructions are illustrated by the Abui sentences in (27)-(28). In the biclausal construction in (27), the theme is expressed in the first clause as a complement of *mi* ‘take’, while the recipient is found in the second clause, as a complement of the verb *-l / -r* ‘give’ (the consonant alternation encodes an aspectual distinction). In (28), the ‘give’ construction is monoclausal: the NP encoding the recipient *nei yo* ‘mine’ is fronted to a position preceding both ‘give’ and ‘take’. This would not be possible in the biclausal structure of (27).

(27) Abui (Kratochvíl, Abui corpus; cited in Klamer & Schapper 2012)

*Hen mi ba Lius la he-l-e.*

3 take CONJ Lius PART 3.LOC-give-IPFV

‘Just give that one to Lius.’

(28) Abui (Kratochvíl, Abui corpus; cited in Klamer & Schapper 2012)

*Nei yo la mi ne-r te yo!*

1SG.POSS DEM PART take 1SG.LOC-give first DEM

‘Just give me mine!’

The single argument indexed on the verb ‘give’ is the recipient. The AP languages thus exhibit ‘secundative’ alignment (Dryer 1986), see Klamer (2010b) and Klamer and Schapper (2012) for discussions of this alignment type in AP languages.

## 5.6 Postpositions

Adpositions in AP languages follow their complement, i.e. they are postpositions. Many AP languages have adpositions encoding locations that are similar in form to (light) locative verbs, suggesting a historical relation between items in both these word classes. For example, the Kaera postpositions *mi* ‘in, on, at, into’ (glossed as ‘LOC’) is related to the locative verbs *ming* ‘be at’ (see (29a-b)), while *ta* ‘on’ is related to the locative verb *tang* ‘be on’.

- (29) a. Kaera (Klamer 2014a)  
*Ging [abang mi] mis-o.*  
3PL village LOC sit-FIN  
'They stay in the village.'
- b. *Ging abang ming gu, mis-o.*  
3PL village be.at that sit-FIN  
'Those [that] are in the village, [will] stay [there].'

In Adang too, postpositions share properties with verbs. In (30)-(31), *mi* 'be in, at' and *ta* 'be on (top of)' function as verbs in serial verb constructions.

- (30) Adang (Robinson & Haan 2014:235)  
*Na ?arabah mi mih.*  
1SG Kalabahi in sit/live  
'I live in Kalabahi.'

- (31) Adang (Robinson & Haan 2014:235)  
*ei mate nu tang ta lame eh.*  
boat be.large one sea on walk PROG  
'A large boat is travelling on the sea.'

There are also AP languages that lack adpositions altogether, Teiwa being a case in point (Klamer 2010a).

## 5.7 Morphological typology

Nominal morphology in AP languages is sparse. Nominal inflection is typically limited to possessive prefixing, and the nominal word-formation most frequently attested is compounding. Morphologically, verbs are the most complex word class of the AP languages. Prefixation to index arguments on verbs is very common (§ 5.2). Broadly speaking, the languages of Pantar are less agglutinative than those of central and east Alor. For example, while Teiwa (Pantar) has only one person prefix paradigm, Kamang (central Alor) has six person prefix paradigms, compare Table 8 and Table 9 (Fedden & Brown this volume).

AP languages do not commonly have much derivational morphology. Some AP languages have verbal prefixes that increase valency, including a causative and/or an applicative (e.g. Blagar, Adang, Klon); but in other languages such

---

<sup>10</sup> The assistive (AST) refers to the participant who assists in the action.

Table 8: Teiwa person prefixes (Klamer 2010a:77, 78)

	Prefix
1SG	<i>n(a)-</i>
2SG	<i>h(a)-</i>
3SG	<i>g(a)-</i>
1PL.EXCL	<i>n(i)-</i>
1PL.INCL	<i>p(i)-</i>
2PL	<i>y(i)-</i>
3PL	<i>g(i)-, ga-</i>

Table 9: Kamang person prefixes (Schapper 2014a:322)

	Prefixes					
	PAT	LOC	GEN	AST <sup>10</sup>	DAT	DIR
1SG	<i>na-</i>	<i>no-</i>	<i>ne-</i>	<i>noo-</i>	<i>nee-</i>	<i>nao-</i>
2SG	<i>a-</i>	<i>o-</i>	<i>e-</i>	<i>oo-</i>	<i>ee-</i>	<i>ao-</i>
3	<i>ga-</i>	<i>wo-</i>	<i>ge-</i>	<i>woo-</i>	<i>gee-</i>	<i>gao-</i>
1PL.EXCL	<i>ni-</i>	<i>nio-</i>	<i>ni-</i>	<i>nioo-</i>	<i>nii-</i>	<i>nioo-</i>
1PL.INCL	<i>si-</i>	<i>sio-</i>	<i>si-</i>	<i>sioo-</i>	<i>sii-</i>	<i>sioo-</i>
2PL	<i>i-</i>	<i>io-</i>	<i>i-</i>	<i>ioo-</i>	<i>ii-</i>	<i>ioo-</i>

derivations are either unproductive (Teiwa), or absent altogether (Western Pantar). In the absence of verbal derivation, serial verb constructions are often employed to introduce beneficiary or instrumental participants, or to express analytical causatives (Abui). Indeed, there is evidence that certain verbal affixes may have developed out of verbs that were originally part of serial verb constructions: in Sawila, for instance, applicative prefixes found on verbs are grammaticalized forms of verbs. An illustration is the applicative prefix *li-* in *li-ilo* 'shine for someone / at something' (32b), which is related to the locative verb *li* 'be.DIST' (Kratochvíl 2014).

(32) Sawila (Kratochvíl 2014:398)

- a. *Laampuru ilo.*  
     lamp      be.bright  
     'The lamp is bright.'
- b. *Laampuru li-ilo.*  
     lamp      APPL-be.bright  
     'The lamp is shining for someone / at something.'

Tense inflections are often lacking on verbs in AP languages, and inflections for aspect and mood remain rather limited. The languages show very little similarity in tense-aspect-mood inflections: not only are the forms different, but the values they express, and the position the morphemes take with respect to the verbal stem show much variation. For example, Table 10 shows that aspect in Western Pantar is prefixing, while in Kaera and Kamang it is suffixing. Also, morphemes with overlapping values have very different shapes: compare the perfective of Kaera *-i* with Kamang *-ma* and imperfective Kaera *-(i)t* with Kamang *-si*.

Table 10: Prefixing und suffixing of aspect morphemes

Western Pantar:	<i>i-</i>	Progressive
	<i>a-</i>	Inceptive
Kaera:	<i>-it, -t</i>	Imperfective
	<i>-i</i>	Perfective
	<i>-ang</i>	Continuative
Kamang:	<i>-si</i>	Imperfective
	<i>-ma</i>	Perfective
	<i>-ta</i>	Stative

In sum, overall, the morphological profile of languages in the AP family is simple compared to many other Papuan languages. The only affixes that have been reconstructed for proto-AP at this stage, are a paradigm of person prefixes on verbs and a third person possessive prefix on nouns (Holton & Robinson this volume[a]:Appendix).

## 5.8 Typological features of AP languages in the Papuan context

Several proposals have been made to characterize the typological profile of Papuan languages. Table 11 presents a list of typological features that have been mentioned most commonly in the literature as typical for Papuan languages (see Foley 1986, 2000; Pawley 2005; Aikhenvald & Stebbins 2007; Klamer, Reesink & van Staden 2008; Klamer & Ewing 2010). In the right-most column, I indicate whether or not a feature applies to the AP languages.

Table 11 clearly suggests that some of the syntactic typology of AP languages is much like that of other Papuan languages: object-verb order and preposed possessors (GEN-Noun) predominate, and negators and conjunctions are clause final, or at least follow the predicate. A formal distinction between alienable and inalienable possession is made in all languages. Serial verb constructions are found across the group.

AP languages are different from other Papuan languages in that they do not exhibit clause-chaining, do not have switch reference systems, never suffix subject indexes to verbs and generally do not make a formal distinction between medial and final verbs. Gender is not marked in AP languages. Unlike many other Papuan groups, the AP languages do encode clusivity in their pronominal systems, and do have a phonemic r-l distinction.

All this goes to suggest that the typology of Papuan languages is more diverse than has previously been recognized. Indeed, apart from a broadly similar head-final syntactic profile, there is very little else that the AP languages share with Papuan languages spoken in other regions (see also Holton & Robinson this volume[b]).

Table 11: Structural features in “Papuan” and in AP languages

	Typical for Papuan languages	In AP languages?
Phonology	No distinction between r/l	no
Morphology	Marking of gender	no
	Subject marked as suffix on verb	no
	Inclusive/exclusive distinction is absent in the pronominal paradigm	no
	Morphological distinction between alienable-inalienable nouns	yes
Syntax	Object-Verb	yes
	Subject-Verb	yes
	Postpositions	yes
	GEN-Noun	yes
	Clause-final negators	yes
	Clause-final conjunctions	yes
	Clause-chaining, switch reference, medial vs. final verbs	no
Serial verb constructions	yes	

## 6 Lexicon

In this section I summarize some of the lexical features that are typical for the AP language group.

### 6.1 Cognates and reconstructed vocabulary

Well over a hundred words have been reconstructed for proto-Alor-Pantar (pAP). They are listed in Holton & Robinson (this volume[a]:Appendix). Complementing these data are the additional and revised pAP reconstructions presented in Schapper, Huber & van Engelenhoven (this volume:Appendix 1), which are based on lexical data from a larger language sample. As the focus of the latter chapter is to investigate the relation between the AP languages and those of Timor and Kisar, it presents reconstructions for proto-Timor as well as 89 cognates and forms of proto-Alor-Pantar and proto-Timor, reconstructed for proto-Timor-Alor-Pantar (Schapper, Huber & van Engelenhoven this volume: Appendix 2 and 3).

### 6.2 Numerals and numeral systems

The indigenous numerals of the AP languages, as well as the indigenous structures for arithmetic operations are currently under pressure from Indonesian, and will inevitably be replaced with Indonesian forms and structures. Future generations may thus be interested in a documentary record of the forms and patterns currently used for cardinal, ordinal and distributive numerals, and the expressions of arithmetic operations (Klamer et al. this volume).

The numeral system reconstructed for pAP mixes numeral words that have a quinary and a decimal base, as shown in Table 12. That is, numeral '5' is a monomorphemic form, the numeral '7' is expressed with (reflexes of) morphemes for [5 2], '8' as [5 3], '9' as [5 4], while '10' is [10 1] (Schapper & Klamer this volume). Systems with numeral bases other than 10 such as the one reconstructed for pAP are relatively rare in the world's languages. From a typological point of view, the reconstructed form for the numeral '6' is even more interesting, as it is not composed as [5 1], as expected in a quinary system, but is rather a monomorphemic form (see Table 12).

Reflexes of the pAP numeral system are found across Alor and Pantar. In the region of the Straits between both islands, the languages underwent a separate later development, innovating some forms, as well as introducing a subtractive pattern, representing '9' as [[10] -1] and '8' as [[10] -2] (Schapper & Klamer this volume). In contrast with the AP languages, the Papuan languages spoken in

Table 12: Numerals and numeral system of pAP (Schapper & Klamer this volume)

'1'	*nuk	[1]
'2'	*araku	[2]
'3'	*(a)tiga	[3]
'4'	*buta	[4]
'5'	*yiwesin	[5]
'6'	*talam	[6]
'7'		[5 2]
'8'		[5 3]
'9'		[5 4]
'10'	*qar nuk	[10 1]

Timor all have decimal systems. They have also borrowed forms from Austronesian; examples include Makalero '4', '5', '7', '9' (Huber 2011) and Bunaq '7', '8', and '9' (Schapper 2010).

### 6.3 Numeral classifiers

Numeral classifiers are found in numeral NPs throughout the AP family. From a Papuan point of view, this is remarkable, as few Papuan languages have numeral classifiers. In AP languages, the classifier is usually not obligatory, and it always follows the noun and precedes the numeral: [NOUN–CLASSIFIER–NUMERAL]. An illustration with the Teiwa general classifier *bag* 'CLF' is (33):

- (33) Teiwa (Klamer 2014c)  
*Qarbau bag ut ga'an u*  
 water.buffalo CLF four DEM DIST  
 'those four water buffaloes'

Some of the AP languages have parallel forms for numeral classifiers. For instance, Western Pantar *waya* and Adang *beh* both originate from a noun meaning 'leaf'. However, across the AP languages, the classifiers differ significantly in form as well in their classifying function so that no classifier can be reconstructed for proto-AP. A number of AP languages have a 'general' classifier, which functions to classify nouns outside the semantic domains of other classifiers that are semantically more specific (cf. Zubin & Shimojo 1993). Illustrations are the general classifiers Teiwa *bag* (derived from a lexeme meaning 'seed', Klamer 2014c,b),



and Adang *paʔ*, derived from a lexeme meaning ‘non-round fruit’ (Robinson & Haan 2014). Although these ‘general’ classifiers share a common, general classifying function, they derive from different lexical sources.

Individual languages also differ in the number of classifiers they use. For instance, Adang has 14 classifiers, while Kamang has only 2. In addition, each of the languages uses its classifiers to carve out semantic domains of a quite different nature. By way of illustration, consider the way in which the semantic categories of fruits and animals are classified. In Teiwa, fruits are classed according to their shape, while in Adang, fruits are classified together with animals and people (Robinson & Haan 2014) and Western Pantar classifies fruits with *hissa* ‘contents’ (Holton to appear). On the other hand, Klón (Baird 2008) and Kamang (Schapper 2014a) do not use a classifier with fruits at all. Animals are classed with fruits and humans in Adang, but with inanimate (!) objects in Abui.

In sum, numeral classifiers appear to have developed after pAP split up, as no classifier is reconstructable for proto-AP. This is not a surprising finding, as numeral classifier sets are often highly volatile, and typically develop out of other lexical classes, such as nouns. A “spontaneous” innovative development of sets of numeral classifiers is however unusual for a Papuan group, as Papuan languages generally lack classifiers (Aikhenvald 2000: 123, Klamer 2014c).<sup>11</sup> Indeed, classifiers do not occur in any areal and/or genealogical cluster of Papuan languages, *except* for three areas located in eastern Indonesia where contact between Austronesian and Papuan languages has been long term and intense: Timor-Alor-Pantar, Halmahera and the Bird’s Head of Papua.<sup>12</sup> On the other hand, classifiers are typically found in Austronesian languages, and the Austronesian languages spoken in eastern Indonesia almost universally have them (Klamer 2014b,c). I thus hypothesize that long term Austronesian-Papuan contact has resulted in the diffusion of a numeral classification system into AP languages. In addition, it is likely that recent and intensive contact with Indonesian/Malay (Austronesian) has spiraled the development of the ‘general’ classifier type in a good number of Alor-Pantar languages as functional copies of the Indonesian general classifier *buah*. While the Indonesian classifier *buah* is derived from a noun meaning ‘fruit’, it has almost lost any semantic content, and it functions as a general classifier today (Hopper 1986; Chung 2010).

---

<sup>11</sup> Numeral classifiers are absent from the overviews of Papuan features by Foley (1986, 2000) and Aikhenvald & Stebbins (2007). Aikhenvald (2000: 123) mentions ten Papuan languages with classifiers in scattered locations of Papua New Guinea: Iwam, Abau (East Sepik province), Chambri, Wogamusin, Chenapian (Lower Sepik), Angave, Tanae (Gulf Province), Folopa (Highlands), Wantoat, Awará (Morobe province).

<sup>12</sup> See Holton (to appear); Klamer (2014c,b) and references cited there.

Note that the contact did not involve borrowing of lexemes: no similarity in shape or semantics exists between classifiers in any Alor-Pantar language and any known classifiers of Austronesian languages spoken in the region, nor with classifiers of Indonesian/Malay. In particular, reflexes of the reconstructed proto-Malayo-Polynesian classifier \**buaq* as which are attested throughout the Austronesian family, are not found as classifiers in the AP languages. Neither has the grammatical structure of Austronesian numeral NPs been copied: in Austronesian NPs, the classifier follows the numeral while the position of the noun varies, thus we find [NUMERAL-CLASSIFIER-NOUN] (as in Indonesian *dua buah rumah* [‘two CLF house’, ‘two houses’] but also [NOUN-NUMERAL=CLASSIFIER] (as in colloquial Malay *rumah dua buah* [‘house two CLF’]; Blust 2009: 283-284). In contrast, in AP languages, the classifier always precedes the numeral: [NOUN-CLASSIFIER-NUMERAL] (as in (29) above).

The AP classifiers thus represent neither borrowed forms nor borrowed structures. What speakers may have adopted from Austronesian, however, is the propensity to reanalyze lexemes which they already had at their disposal (such as ‘seed’ or ‘fruit’) and to grammaticalize these as sortal classifiers in numeral expressions.

#### 6.4 Kinship terminology

Kinship terms vary between languages according to ancestor-descendant relationships: the more closely related two languages are, the more likely they are to share cognate forms, and the more likely it is that the meanings of the terms coincide. But as a social construct, kinship practice may be influenced by contact, with concomitant changes in the shape or meaning of the kin terms. The Alor-Pantar languages show enormous variation in kinship terminology and practice, in spite of the fact that many of the communities are closely bound together through ties of marriage alliance (Holton this volume). The westernmost languages distinguish both maternal and paternal cross-cousins (children of opposite-sex siblings) as ideal marriage partners, while at the opposite extreme in the highlands of Alor are found cultures which expressly forbid cross-cousin marriage. Holton (this volume) suggests that the current distribution of kinship terminologies suggests a recent drift toward symmetric exchange systems which distinguish both maternal and paternal cross-cousins, perhaps under the influence of neighboring Austronesian languages.

## 7 Challenges for future research

The twenty languages of the Alor-Pantar (AP) family constitute the west-most outlier “Papuan” group, and together with the Papuan languages of Timor, they make up the Timor-Alor-Pantar family. While this connection has been assumed for decades, the chapter by Schapper, Huber & van Engelenhoven (this volume) is in fact the first publication actually *demonstrating* the relatedness using the Comparative Method. Another popular assumption is that the Timor-Alor-Pantar family is part of the Trans-New Guinea family. However, detailed investigations by Holton and Robinson in this volume indicate that there is insufficient evidence to confirm a genealogical relationship between TAP and any other family, that is, TAP must be considered a family-level isolate. The question where the Papuan languages of Timor, Alor and Pantar originate from thus yet remains unanswered, and there is yet no integrated account of the history of the Alor-Pantar region. We need detailed studies of how the languages have been in contact with each other, and to reveal more of the culture history of the speakers, more fine-grained bottom up research of targeted parts of the region is necessary, in particular combining linguistic research with results from ethnographic, archaeological, and musicological research.

Some of the typological features of Alor-Pantar languages are cross-linguistically quite rare: their strong preference to index P but not A on transitive verbs; the extreme variety in morphological alignment patterns; the use of plural number words; the existence of quinary numeral systems; the elaborate spatial deictic systems involving an elevation component; and the great variation exhibited in their kinship systems. There are bound to be more such features to be unravelled in future studies.

All the languages discussed in this volume are extremely fragile, and to keep them alive for future generations of speakers, parents must continue to speak their language with their children. We hope that this volume will create an awareness among local speakers as well as government officials and Indonesian linguists about the unique characteristics and richness of this small non-Austronesian family.

## 8 Data collection and archiving

Most of the data discussed in the chapters of this volume are primary data collected through fieldwork in Alor and Pantar. To collect the comparative lexical and grammatical data, fieldworkers used a combination of direct elicitation

through survey word lists and a set of specially prepared video clips, as well as questionnaires on specific topics. The questionnaire used for the collection of numeral words and arithmetic expressions is included in the Appendix of Klamer et al. (this volume). The set of video clips that was designed to elicit expressions of one and two-participant events in AP languages is described in Fedden & Brown (this volume). The description of the elicitation task itself is included in the Appendix of their chapter, and the set of clips is downloadable from [www.alor-pantar.surrey.ac.uk/index.php/field-materials](http://www.alor-pantar.surrey.ac.uk/index.php/field-materials).

All authors contributing to this volume have endeavoured to make the empirical basis on which their investigations rest as explicit as possible. To this end, most of the chapters include a section referred to as ‘Sources’, which lists the various sources (both published and unpublished) that were used for the chapter, their authors/collectors and the year(s) of collection. Roughly four types of data sets have been used: word lists, corpora, field notes and responses to video clips.

The word lists used for the chapters on the history of AP languages are part of a lexical database referred to as the Alor-Pantar (AP) Lexical Database, an Excel sheet with over 400 words, containing lexical survey data from Nede bang, Western Pantar (Tubbe variety), Deing, Sar (Adiabang variety), Kaera (Padang-sul variety), Blagar (Warsalelang variety), Blagar (Nule variety), Kabola, Adang (Lawahing variety), Hamap, Kl on, Kafoa, Abui (Atengmelang variety), Kamang, Kula, Kui, Sawila, Wersing. These word lists were collected between 2003 and 2011 by the following researchers: Louise Baird, František Kratochvíl, Gary Holton, Laura C. Robinson, Antoinette Schapper, Nick Williams, and Marian Klamer. Parts of this (slightly modified)<sup>13</sup> lexical database were used in Holton & Robinson (this volume[a],[b]), and Schapper, Huber & van Engelenhoven (this volume). Where a more extensive lexicon of a language is available, that lexicon was used instead of the lexical survey lists. Thus, the lexical data from Teiwa, Kaera, Western Pantar, Blagar, Adang, Kl on, Abui, and Sawila are from Toolbox files mutually shared among the researchers, and a published dictionary was the source for Kamang (Schapper & Manimau 2011).

The chapters on the typology of AP languages use corpora as data sets, and build on information collected by researchers in the field (‘fieldnotes’). A corpus of an AP language as it is used in this volume typically consists of a Toolbox file containing various spoken texts, which have been transcribed, glossed and

---

<sup>13</sup> Modifications of the original survey lists include the correction of typos, and, where phonological forms are known, these have replaced the original phonetic forms. The Blagar and Adang data were replaced with data from different dialects: Adang (Pitungbang/Kokar dialect) and Blagar (Dolabang dialect).

translated by the researcher working on the language. Corpus data are cited in the text with reference to the author and the language, and refer to the sources given in the next section.

## Sources

Baird, Louise	Klon corpus	Leiden University
Holton, Gary	Western Pantar corpus	University of Alaska Fairbanks
Klamer, Marian	Teiwa corpus	Leiden University
Klamer, Marian	Kaera corpus	Leiden University
Kratochvíl, František	Abui corpus	Nanyang Technological University Singapore
Kratochvíl, František	Sawila corpus	Nanyang Technological University Singapore <sup>14</sup>
Schapper, Antoinette	Kamang corpus	Leiden University University of Cologne
Schapper, Antoinette & Rachel Hendery	Wersing corpus	Australian National University

Sizes of corpora vary from over 100,000 words (Abui: 120,000 words, Sawila: 108,000 words) to less than 50,000 words (Klon: 36,000 words, Teiwa: 26,000 words) to less than 20,000 words (Kaera: 19,000 words). Contents of corpora vary, too: some include oral texts as well as elicited sentences collected during the research; in other cases, a researcher may have kept elicited information separate from the oral text corpus.

Most of the data sets mentioned in this section are currently being archived as part of “The LAISEANG corpora at The Language Archive”. In the very near future they will be accessible online at <http://hdl.handle.net/1839/00-0000-0000-0018-CB72-4@view>.

The responses to the video stimuli that were collected are downloadable from <http://www.alor-pantar.surrey.ac.uk/index.php/pronominal-marking/resources/>. They are referred to in this volume as ‘Response to video clip Cx/Px, SPy’, where SPy stands for the speaker number of the individual who provided the response,

<sup>14</sup> The Sawila corpus includes work by the SIL team members Anderias Malaikosa and Isak Bantara who translated Genesis, the Gospel of Mark, and the Acts into Sawila.

and C(ore) or P(eripheral) refer to the core or peripheral status of the clip in the elicitation set.

## Acknowledgments

Parts of this overview were taken from Klamer (2010a, 2011); Baird, Klamer & Kratochvíl (Ms); Holton & Klamer (to appear). I thank Sebastian Fedden, Martin Haspelmath, Gary Holton, František Kratochvíl and Laura C. Robinson for their comments on an earlier version of this chapter.

## Abbreviations

1	1st person	LIM	‘limitation marking’
2	2nd person		predicative suffix
3	3rd person	LOC	locative
A	most agent-like argument	NEG	negator
ALIEN	alienable	NP	noun phrase
AP	Alor-Pantar	OBL	oblique
APPL	applicative	P	most patient-like argument
AST	assistive	PL	plural
BEN	benefactive	PAP	proto-Alor-Pantar
CLF	classifier	PART	particle
COMPL	completive	PAT	patient
CONJ	conjunction	PFV	perfective
DAT	dative	POSS	possessive
DEM	demonstrative	PROG	progressive
DIR	directional	PROX	proximate
DIST	distal	REAL	realis
DISTR	distributive	REC	recipient
EXCL	exclusive	S	single agreement
FIN	final	SG	singular
GEN	genitive	SVC	serial verb construction
GOAL	goal	TAP	Timor-Alor-Pantar
INAL	inalienable	TOP	topic
INCL	inclusive		
IPFV	imperfective		

## References

- Aikhenvald, Alexandra Y. 2000. *Classifiers: A typology of noun categorization devices* (Studies in typology and linguistic theory). Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Aikhenvald, Alexandra Y. & Tonya N. Stebbins. 2007. Languages of New Guinea. In Osahito Miyaoaka, Osamu Sakiyama & Micheal E. Krauss (eds.), *The vanishing languages of the Pacific Rim*, 239–266. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Anonymous. 1914. De eilanden Alor en Pantar, residentie Timor en Onderhoorigheden. *Tijdschrift van het Koninklijk Nederlandsch Aardrijkskundig Genootschap* 31. 70–102.
- Badan Pusat Statistik. 2005. *Penduduk kabupaten Alor 2005 (Hasil Registrasi)*. Kalabahi, Indonesia: Badan Pusat Statistik Kabupaten Alor.
- Baird, Louise. 2005. Doing the split-s in Klón. *Linguistics in the Netherlands* 22. Doetjes, J. & J. van der Weijer (eds.). 1–22.
- Baird, Louise. 2008. *A grammar of Klón: a non-Austronesian language of Alor, Indonesia*. Vol. 596 (Pacific Linguistics). Canberra: Pacific Linguistics, Research School of Pacific & Asian Studies, The Australian National University.
- Baird, Louise. 2010. Grammaticalisation of asymmetrical serial verb constructions in Klón. In Michael Ewing & Marian Klamer (eds.), *Typological and areal analyses: Contributions from East Nusantara*, 189–206.
- Baird, Louise. to appear. Kafoa. In Antoinette Schapper (ed.), *Papuan languages of Timor, Alor and Pantar: Sketch grammars*, vol. 2. Berlin: Mouton de Gruyter.
- Baird, Louise, Marian Klamer & František Kratochvíl. Ms. *Alor Malay: A grammar sketch*. Manuscript, Leiden University/NTU Singapore.
- Barnes, Robert H. 1974. *Kédang: A study of the collective thought of an Eastern Indonesian people*. Oxford: Clarendon Press.
- Barnes, Robert H. 1982. The Majapahit dependency Galiyao. *Bijdragen tot de Taal-, Land- en Volkenkunde* 138. 407–412.
- Barnes, Robert H. 1996. *Sea hunters of Indonesia. Fishers and weavers of Lamalera*. Oxford: Clarendon Press.
- Barnes, Robert H. 2001. Alliance and warfare in an Eastern Indonesian principality - Kédang in the last half of the nineteenth century. *Bijdragen tot de Taal-, Land- en Volkenkunde* 157(2). 271–311.
- Bellwood, Peter. 1997. *The prehistory of the Indo-Pacific archipelago*. 2nd edition. Honolulu: University of Hawaii Press.

- Blust, Robert Andrew. 2009. *The Austronesian languages*. Vol. 602 (Pacific Linguistics). Canberra: Research School of Pacific & Asian Studies, Australian National University.
- Blust, Robert Andrew. 2013. *The Austronesian languages*. Revised edition. Canberra: Pacific Linguistics.
- Chung, Siaw-Fong. 2010. Numeral classifier buah in Malay: A corpus-based study. *Language and Linguistics* 11(3). 553–577.
- Comrie, Bernard. 1989. *Language universals and linguistic typology*. Oxford: Blackwell.
- Coolhaas, W. Ph. 1979. *Generale missiven van gouverneurs-generaal en raden aan heren xvii der verenigde oostindische compagnie*. Vol. VII. s Gravenhage: Martinus.
- de Josselin de Jong, Jan Petrus Benjamin. 1937. *Studies in Indonesian culture I: Oirata, a Timorese settlement on Kisar*. Amsterdam: Noord-Hollandsche Uitgevers-Maatschappij.
- Dietrich, Stefan. 1984. A note on Galiyao and the early history of the Solor-Alor islands. *Bijdragen tot de Taal-, Land- en Volkenkunde* 140. 317–326.
- Donohue, Mark. 1996. Inverse in Tanglapui. *Language and Linguistics in Melanesia* 27(2). 101–118.
- Dryer, Matthew S. 1986. Primary objects, secondary objects and antitativity. *Language* 62(4). 808–845.
- Dryer, Matthew S. 1989. Plural words. *Linguistics* 27. 865–895.
- Dryer, Matthew S. 2011. Coding of nominal plurality. In Matthew S. Dryer & Martin Haspelmath (eds.), *The world atlas of language structures online*, chap. 33. Munich: Max Planck Digital Library. <http://wals.info/chapter/33>.
- Du Bois, Cora. 1960. *The people of Alor*. [1944]. New York: Harper Torchbooks.
- van Engelenhoven, Aone. 2009. On derivational processes in Fataluku, a non-Austronesian language in East-Timor. In W. L. Wetzels (ed.), *The linguistics of endangered languages, contributions to morphology and morpho-syntax*, 331–362. Utrecht: Netherlands Graduate School of Linguistics.
- van Engelenhoven, Aone. 2010. Verb serialisation in Fataluku. The case of take. In A. Azeb, S. Völlmin, Ch. Rapold & S. Zaugg-Coretti (eds.), *Converbs, medial verbs, clause chaining and related issues*, 185–211. Köln: Rüdiger Köppe Verlag.
- Fedden, Sebastian & Dunstan Brown. this volume. Participant marking: corpus study and video elicitation. In Marian Klamer (ed.), *The Alor-Pantar languages*, 413–456. Berlin: Language Science Press.



- Fedden, Sebastian, Dunstan Brown, Greville G. Corbett, Marian Klamer, Gary Holton, Laura C. Robinson & Antoinette Schapper. 2013. Conditions on pronominal marking in the Alor-Pantar languages. *Linguistics* 51(1). 33–74.
- Fedden, Sebastian, Dunstan Brown, František Kratochvíl, Laura C. Robinson & Antoinette Schapper. 2014. Variation in pronominal indexing: lexical stipulation vs. referential properties in the Alor-Pantar languages. *Studies in Language* 38. 44–79.
- Foley, William A. 1986. *The Papuan languages of New Guinea* (Cambridge language surveys). Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Foley, William A. 2000. The languages of New Guinea. *Annual Review of Anthropology* 29(1). 357–404.
- van Gaalen, G. A. M. 1945. Memorie van overgave van den fundgeerend controleur van Alor G. A. M. van Galen. Manuscript.
- Grimes, Charles E., Tom Therik, Barbara D. Grimes & Max Jacob. 1997. *A guide to the people and languages of Nusa Tenggara*. Vol. 1 (Paradigma: Series B). Kupang: Artha Wacana Press.
- Haan, Johnson Welem. 2001. *The grammar of Adang: a Papuan language spoken on the island of Alor East Nusa Tenggara - Indonesia*. Sydney: University of Sydney PhD thesis. <http://www-personal.arts.usyd.edu.au/jansimps/haan/>.
- Hägerdal, Hans. 2010a. Cannibals and pedlars. *Indonesia and the Malay World* 38(111). 217–246.
- Hägerdal, Hans. 2010b. Van Galens memorandum on the Alor islands in 1946. An annotated translation with an introduction. Part 1. *HumaNetten* 25. 14–44.
- Hägerdal, Hans. 2011. Van Galens memorandum on the Alor islands in 1946. An annotated translation with an introduction. Part 2. *HumaNetten* 27. 53–96.
- Hägerdal, Hans. 2012. *Lords of the land, lords of the sea: Conflict and adaptation in early colonial Timor, 1600-1800*. Leiden: KITLV Press.
- Hajek, John. 2010. Towards a phonological overview of the vowel and consonant systems of East Nusantara. In Michael C. Ewing & Marian Klamer (eds.), *East Nusantara: typological and areal analyses*, vol. 618 (Pacific Linguistics), 25–46. Canberra: Research School of Pacific & Asian Studies, Australian National University.
- Haspelmath, Martin. 2011. On S, A, P, T, and R as comparative concepts for alignment typology. *Linguistic Typology* 15. 535–567.
- Holton, Gary. 2008. The rise and fall of semantic alignment in North Halmahera, Indonesia. In M. Donohue & S. Wichmann (eds.), *The typology of semantic alignment*, 252–276. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Holton, Gary. 2010a. An etymology for Galiyao. University of Fairbanks.

- Holton, Gary. 2010b. Person-marking, verb classes and the notion of grammatical alignment in Western Pantar (Lamma). In Michael Ewing & Marian Klamer (eds.), *Typological and areal analyses: contributions from east Nusantara*, 97–117. Canberra: Pacific Linguistics.
- Holton, Gary. 2011. Landscape in Western Pantar, a Papuan outlier of southern Indonesia. In David M. Mark, Andrew G. Turk, Niclas Burenhult & David Stea (eds.), *Landscape in language*, 143–166. Amsterdam: John Benjamins.
- Holton, Gary. 2014. Western Pantar. In Antoinette Schapper (ed.), *Papuan languages of Timor, Alor and Pantar: Sketch grammars*, vol. 1, 23–96. Berlin: Mouton de Gruyter.
- Holton, Gary. this volume. Kinship in the Alor-Pantar languages. In Marian Klamer (ed.), *The Alor-Pantar languages*, 199–245. Berlin: Language Science Press.
- Holton, Gary. to appear. Numeral classifiers and number in two Papuan outliers of East Nusantara. In Marian Klamer & František Kratochvíl (eds.), *Number and quantity in East Nusantara*. Canberra: Pacific Linguistics.
- Holton, Gary & Marian Klamer. to appear. The Papuan languages of East Nusantara. In Bill Palmer (ed.), *Oceania*. Berlin: Mouton de Gruyter.
- Holton, Gary & Mahalalel Lamma Koly. 2008. *Kamus pengantar Bahasa Pantar Barat: Tubbe - Mauta - Lamma*. Kupang, Indonesia: UBB-GMIT.
- Holton, Gary & Laura C. Robinson. this volume(a). The internal history of the Alor-Pantar language family. In Marian Klamer (ed.), *The Alor-Pantar languages*, 55–97. Berlin: Language Science Press.
- Holton, Gary & Laura C. Robinson. this volume(b). The linguistic position of the Timor-Alor-Pantar languages. In Marian Klamer (ed.), *The Alor-Pantar languages*, 155–198. Berlin: Language Science Press.
- Holton, Gary, Marian Klamer, František Kratochvíl, Laura C. Robinson & Antoinette Schapper. 2012. The historical relations of the Papuan languages of Alor and Pantar. *Oceanic Linguistics* 51(1). 86–122.
- Hopper, Paul J. 1986. Some discourse functions of classifiers in Malay. In Colette G. Craig (ed.), *Noun classes and categorization*, 309–325. Amsterdam: John Benjamins.
- Huber, Juliette. 2008. *First steps towards a grammar of Makasae: a language of East Timor*. Vol. 195 (Languages of the World/Materials). München: Lincom.
- Huber, Juliette. 2011. *A grammar of Makalero: a Papuan language of East Timor*. Utrecht: LOT.
- Jacob, June & Charles E. Grimes. 2003. *Kamus pengantar Bahasa Kupang–Bahasa Indonesia (dengan daftar Indonesia–Kupang)*. Kupang: Artha Wacana Press.

- Klamer, Marian. 2010a. *A grammar of Teiwa* (Mouton Grammar Library 49). Berlin: Mouton de Gruyter.
- Klamer, Marian. 2010b. Ditransitive constructions in Teiwa. In Andrej Malchukov, Martin Haspelmath & Bernard Comrie (eds.), *Studies in ditransitive constructions*, 427–455. Berlin: Mouton.
- Klamer, Marian. 2010c. One item, many faces: ‘come’ in Teiwa and Kaera. In Michael Ewing & Marian Klamer (eds.), *East Nusantara: Typological and areal analyses*, 203–226. Canberra: Pacific Linguistics.
- Klamer, Marian. 2011. *A short grammar of Alorese (Austronesian)* (Languages of the World/Materials 486). München: Lincom GmbH.
- Klamer, Marian. 2012. Papuan-Austronesian language contact: Alorese from an areal perspective. In Nicholas Evans & Marian Klamer (eds.), *Melanesian languages on the edge of Asia: challenges for the 21st century*, vol. 5 (Language Documentation & Conservation Special Publication), 72–108. Honolulu: University of Hawaii Press.
- Klamer, Marian. 2014a. Kaera. In Antoinette Schapper (ed.), *Papuan languages of Timor, Alor and Pantar: Sketch grammars*, vol. 1, 97–146. Berlin: Mouton de Gruyter.
- Klamer, Marian. 2014b. Numeral classifiers in Papuan Alor Pantar: a comparative perspective. In Marian Klamer & František Kratochvíl (eds.), *Number and quantity in East Nusantara*. Canberra: Pacific Linguistics.
- Klamer, Marian. 2014c. The history of numeral classifiers in Teiwa (Papuan). In Gerrit J. Dimmendaal & Anne Storch (eds.), *Number: constructions and semantics. Case studies from Africa, India, Amazonia & Oceania*, chap. 6, 135–166. Amsterdam: Benjamins.
- Klamer, Marian & Michael C. Ewing. 2010. The languages of East Nusantara: an introduction. In Michael C. Ewing & Marian Klamer (eds.), *East Nusantara: typological and areal analyses* (Pacific Linguistics 618), 1–24. Canberra: Research School of Pacific & Asian Studies, Australian National University.
- Klamer, Marian & František Kratochvíl. 2006. The role of animacy in Teiwa and Abui (Papuan). In *Proceedings of BLS 32*. Berkeley Linguistic Society. Berkeley.
- Klamer, Marian, Ger P. Reesink & Miriam van Staden. 2008. East Nusantara as a linguistic area. In Pieter Muysken (ed.), *From linguistic areas to areal linguistics* (Studies in Language Companion Series 90), 95–149. Amsterdam: John Benjamins.
- Klamer, Marian & Antoinette Schapper. 2012. The development of give constructions in the Papuan languages of Timor-Alor-Pantar. *Linguistic Discovery* 10(3). 174–207.

- Klamer, Marian, Antoinette Schapper & Greville G. Corbett. this volume. Plural number words in the Alor-Pantar languages. In Marian Klamer (ed.), *The Alor-Pantar languages*, 375–412. Berlin: Language Science Press.
- Klamer, Marian, Antoinette Schapper, Greville G. Corbett, Gary Holton, František Kratochvíl & Laura C. Robinson. this volume. Numeral words and arithmetic operations in the Alor-Pantar languages. In Marian Klamer (ed.), *The Alor-Pantar languages*, 337–373. Berlin: Language Science Press.
- Kratochvíl, František. 2007. *A grammar of Abui: a Papuan language of Alor*. Utrecht: LOT.
- Kratochvíl, František. 2011a. Discourse-structuring functions of Abui demonstratives. In Foong Ha Yap & Janick Wronae (eds.), *Nominalization in Asian languages: Diachronic and typological perspectives. Volume 2: Korean, Japanese and Austronesian languages*, 761–792. Amsterdam: John Benjamins.
- Kratochvíl, František. 2011b. Transitivity in Abui. *Studies in Language* 35(3). 589–636.
- Kratochvíl, František. 2014. Sawila. In Antoinette Schapper (ed.), *Papuan languages of Timor, Alor and Pantar: Sketch grammars*, vol. 1, 351–438. Berlin: Mouton de Gruyter.
- Kratochvíl, František & Benediktus Delpada. 2008a. *Kamus pengantar Bahasa Abui (Abui-Indonesian-English dictionary)*. Kupang: Unit Bahasa dan Budaya (UBB).
- Kratochvíl, František & Benediktus Delpada. 2008b. *Netanga neananra dei lohu naha. Abui tanga haetteng ananra. [Cerita-cerita dalam Bahasa Abui dari Takalelang. Abui stories from Takalelang.]* Kupang: Unit Bahasa dan Budaya (UBB).
- Lansing, Stephen J., Murray P. Cox, Therese A. de Vet, Sean S. Downey, Brian Hallmark & Herawati Sudoyo. 2011. An ongoing Austronesian expansion in island Southeast Asia. *Journal of Anthropological Archaeology* 30. 262–272.
- Le Roux, C. C. F. M. 1929. De Elcanos tocht door den Timorarchipel met Magalhães schip Victoria. In *Feestbundel, uitgegeven door het koninklijk bataviaasch genootschap van kunsten en wetenschappen bij gelegenheid van zijn 150 jarig bestaan:1778-1928*, 1–99. Weltevreden: Kolff.
- Lemoine, Annie. 1969. Histoires de Pantar. *L'Homme* 9(4). 5–32.
- Lewis, Paul M. 2009. *Ethnologue: languages of the world*. Paul M. Lewis (ed.). 16th edn. Dallas: SIL International. <http://www.ethnologue.com/web.asp>.
- Lewis, Paul M., Gary F. Simons & Charles D. Fennig. 2013. *Ethnologue: languages of the world*. 17th edn. Dallas: SIL International. <http://www.ethnologue.com>.

- Maddieson, Ian. 2005. Consonant inventories. In M. Haspelmath, M. S. Dryer, David Gil & B. Comrie (eds.), *The world atlas of language structures*, 10–13. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Mahirta. 2006. The prehistory of Austronesian dispersal to the southern islands of eastern Indonesia. In Truman Simanjuntak, Ingrid H. E. Pojoh & Mohammad Hisyam (eds.), *Austronesian diaspora and the ethnogeneses of people in Indonesian archipelago*, 129–145. Jakarta: LIPI Press.
- Martis, Non, Wati Kurnatiawati, Buha Aritonang, Hidayatul Astar & Ferr Feirizal. 2000. *Monografi kosakata dasar Swadesh di Kabupaten Alor*. Jakarta: Pusat Bahasa, Departemen Pendidikan Nasional.
- McWilliam, Andrew. 2007. Austronesians in linguistic disguise: Fataluku cultural fusion in East Timor. *Journal of Southeast Asian Studies* 38(2). 355–375.
- Morwood, Mike J., R. P. Soejono, R. G. Roberts, T. Sutikna, C. S. M. Turney, K. E. Westaway, W. J. Rink, J.-X. Zhao, G. D. van den Bergh, Rokus Awe Due, Hobbs D. R., M. W. Moore, M. I. Bird & L. K. Fifield. 2004. Archaeology and age of a new hominin from Flores in eastern Indonesia. *Nature* (431). 1087–1091.
- Nicolspeyer, Martha Margaretha. 1940. *De sociale structuur van een Aloreesche bevolkingsgroep*. Rijswijk: Rijksuniversiteit te Leiden PhD thesis.
- O'Connor, Sue. 2003. Nine new painted rock art sites from East Timor in the context of the Western Pacific region. *Asian Perspectives* 42(1). 96–128.
- O'Connor, Sue. 2007. New evidence from East Timor contributes to our understanding of earliest modern human colonisation east of the Sunda shelf. *Antiquity* 81. 523–535.
- Pawley, Andrew K. 2005. The chequered career of the trans New Guinea hypothesis: recent research and its implications. In Andrew K. Pawley, Robert Attenborough, Jack Golson & Robin Hide (eds.), *Papuan pasts: studies in the cultural, linguistic and biological history of the Papuan-speaking peoples* (Pacific Linguistics 572), 67–108. Canberra: Research School of Pacific & Asian Studies, Australian National University.
- Robinson, Laura C. to appear. The Alor-Pantar (Papuan) languages and Austronesian contact in East Nusantara. In Malcom Ross (ed.), *Language contact and Austronesian historical linguistics*. Canberra: Pacific Linguistics.
- Robinson, Laura C. & John Haan. 2014. Adang. In Antoinette Schapper (ed.), *Papuan languages of Timor, Alor and Pantar: Sketch grammars*, vol. 1, 221–284. Berlin: Mouton de Gruyter.
- Robinson, Laura C. & Gary Holton. 2012. Internal classification of the Alor-Pantar language family using computational methods applied to the lexicon. *Language Dynamics and Change* 2(2). 123–149.

- Rodemeier, Susanne. 1995. Local tradition on Alor and Pantar: an attempt at localizing Galiyao. *Bijdragen tot de Taal-, Land- en Volkenkunde* 151(3). 438–442.
- Rodemeier, Susanne. 2006. *Tutu kadire in Pandai-Munaseli* (Passauer Beiträge zur Südostasienskunde 12). Berlin: LIT Verlag.
- de Roever, Arend. 2002. *De jacht op sandelhout: de VOC en de tweedeling van Timor in de zeventiende eeuw*. Zutphen: Leiden U. PhD thesis.
- Ross, Malcolm. 2005. Pronouns as preliminary diagnostic for grouping Papuan languages. In Andrew K. Pawley, Robert Attemborough, Jack Golson & Robin Hide (eds.), *Papuan pasts: cultural, linguistic and biological histories of Papuan-speaking peoples*, 15–65. Canberra: Pacific Linguistics.
- Schapper, Antoinette. 2010. *Bunaq: a Papuan language of central Timor*. Canberra: Australian National University PhD thesis.
- Schapper, Antoinette. 2012. *Elevation and scale in two Papuan languages*. Talk presented at EuroBabel Final Conference, Leiden, 23–26 August 2012.
- Schapper, Antoinette. 2014a. Kamang. In Antoinette Schapper (ed.), *Papuan languages of Timor, Alor and Pantar: Sketch grammars*, vol. 1, 285–350. Berlin: Mouton de Gruyter.
- Schapper, Antoinette (ed.). 2014b. *Papuan languages of Timor, Alor and Pantar: Sketch grammars*. Vol. 1. Berlin: Mouton de Gruyter.
- Schapper, Antoinette (ed.). Forthcoming. *Papuan languages of Timor, Alor and Pantar: Sketch grammars*. Vol. 2. Berlin: Mouton de Gruyter.
- Schapper, Antoinette & Rachel Hendery. 2014. Wersing. In Antoinette Schapper (ed.), *Papuan languages of Timor, Alor and Pantar: Sketch grammars*, vol. 1, 439–504. Berlin: Mouton de Gruyter.
- Schapper, Antoinette, Juliette Huber & Aone van Engelenhoven. this volume. The relatedness of Timor-Kisar and Alor-Pantar languages: A preliminary demonstration. In Marian Klamer (ed.), *The Alor-Pantar languages*, 99–154. Berlin: Language Science Press.
- Schapper, Antoinette & Marian Klamer. this volume. Numeral systems in the Alor-Pantar languages. In Marian Klamer (ed.), *The Alor-Pantar languages*, 285–336. Berlin: Language Science Press.
- Schapper, Antoinette & Marten Manimau. 2011. *Kamus pengantar Bahasa Kamang-Indonesia-Inggris (Introductory Kamang-Indonesian-English dictionary)* (UBB Language & Culture Series: A 7). Kupang: Unit Bahasa dan Budaya (BDD).
- Siewierska, Anna. 2013. Verbal person marking. In Matthew S. Dryer & Martin Haspelmath (eds.), *The world atlas of language structures online*, chapter 102. (accessed 29 March 2014). Munich: Max Planck Digital Library. <http://wals.info/chapter/102>.

- Spriggs, Matthew. 2011. Archaeology and the Austronesian expansion: where are we now? *Antiquity* 85. 510–528.
- Steenbrink, Karel. 2003. *Catholics in Indonesia 1808-1942. A documented history*. Leiden: KITVL Press.
- Steinhauer, Hein. 1977. ‘Going’ and ‘coming’ in the Blagar of Dolap (Pura, Alor, Indonesia). *NUSA: Miscellaneous Studies in Indonesian and Languages in Indonesia* 3. 38–48.
- Steinhauer, Hein. 1991. Demonstratives in the Blagar language of Dolap (Pura, Alor, Indonesia). In Tom Dutton (ed.), *Papers in Papuan linguistics*, 177–221. Canberra: Pacific Linguistics.
- Steinhauer, Hein. 1993. Sisters and potential wives: where linguists and anthropologists meet: notes on kinship in Blagar (Alor). In P. Haenen (ed.), *Vrienden en verwanten, liber amicorum Alex van der Leeden*, 147–168. Leiden & Jakarta: DSALCUL/IRIS.
- Steinhauer, Hein. 1995. Two varieties of the Blagar language (Alor, Indonesia). In Connie Baak, Mary Bakker & Dick van der Meij (eds.), *Tales from a concave world: Liber amicorum Bert Voorhoeve*, 269–296. Leiden: Projects Division, Department of Languages, Cultures of South-East Asia & Oceania.
- Steinhauer, Hein. 1999. Bahasa Blagar Selayang Pandang. In Bambang Kaswanti Purwo (ed.), *Panorama bahasa Nusantara*, 71–102. Jakarta: Universitas Cenderawasih & Summer Institute of Linguistics.
- Steinhauer, Hein. 2010. Pura when we were younger than today. In Artem Fedorchuk & Svetlana Chlenova (eds.), *Studia antropologica. A Festschrift in honour of Michael Chlenov*, 261–283. Jerusalem: Mosty Kul’ tury Gesharim.
- Steinhauer, Hein. 2012. Deictic categories in three languages of Eastern Indonesia. In Bahren Umar Siregar, P. Ari Subagyo & Yassir Nasanius (eds.), *Dari menapak jejak kata sampai menyigi tata bahasa. Persembahan untuk Prof. Dr. Bambang Kaswanti Purwo dalam rangka ulang tahunnya yang ke-60*, 115–147. Jakarta: Pusat Kajian Bahasa dan Budaya Universitas Katolik Indonesia Atma Jaya.
- Steinhauer, Hein. 2014. Blagar. In Antoinette Schapper (ed.), *Papuan languages of Timor, Alor and Pantar: Sketch grammars*, vol. 1, 147–220. Berlin: Mouton de Gruyter.
- Stokhof, W. A. L. 1975. *Preliminary notes on the Alor and Pantar languages (East Indonesia)* (Pacific Linguistics: Series B 43). Canberra: Research School of Pacific & Asian Studies, Australian National University.
- Stokhof, W. A. L. 1977. *Woisika I: An ethnographic introduction* (Pacific Linguistics: Series D 19). Canberra: Research School of Pacific & Asian Studies, Australian National University.

- Stokhof, W. A. L. 1978. Woisika text. *Miscellaneous Studies in Indonesian and Languages in Indonesia* 5. 34–57.
- Stokhof, W. A. L. 1979. *Woisika II: Phonemics*. Canberra: Pacific Linguistics.
- Stokhof, W. A. L. 1982. *Woisika riddles* (Pacific linguistics : Series D, Special publications 41). Canberra: ANU.
- Stokhof, W. A. L. 1983. Names and naming in Ateita and environments (Woisika, Alor). *Lingua* 61(2/3). 179–207.
- Stokhof, W. A. L. 1984. Annotations to a text in the Abui language (Alor). *Bijdragen tot de Taal-, Land- en Volkenkunde* 140(1). 106–162.
- Stokhof, W. A. L. 1987. A short Kabola text (Alor, East Indonesia). In Donald C. Laycock & Werner Winter (eds.), *A world of language: Papers presented to Professor Stephen A. Wurm on his 65th birthday* (Pacific Linguistics: Series C 100), 631–648. Canberra: Research School of Pacific & Asian Studies, Australian National University.
- Summerhayes, Glenn R. 2007. Island Melanesian pasts: A view from archeology. In Jonathan S. Friedlaender (ed.), *Genes, language and culture history in the Southwest Pacific*, 10–35. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Verheijen, Jilis A. J. 1986. *The Sama/Bajau language in the Lesser Sunda Islands* (Pacific Linguistics: Series D 70). Canberra: Research School of Pacific & Asian Studies, Australian National University.
- Wellfelt, Emilie & Antoinette Schapper. 2013. *Memories of migration and contact: East Timor origins in Alor*. Paper read at the Eighth International Convention of Asia Scholars, June 24–27, Macao.
- Williams, Nick & Mark Donohue. to appear. Kula. In Antoinette Schapper (ed.), *Papuan languages of Timor, Alor and Pantar: Sketch grammars*, vol. 2. Berlin: Mouton de Gruyter.
- Wurm, Stephen A., C. L. Voorhoeve & Kenneth A. McElhanon. 1975. The trans-New Guinea phylum in general. In Stephen A. Wurm (ed.), *New Guinea area languages and language study vol 1: Papuan languages and the New Guinea linguistic scene* (Pacific Linguistics: Series C 38), 299–322. Canberra: Research School of Pacific & Asian Studies, Australian National University.
- Xu, Shuhua, Irina Pugach, Mark Stoneking, Manfred Kayser, Li Jin & The HUGO Pan-Asian Consortium. 2012. Genetic dating indicates that the asian-Papuan admixture through Eastern Indonesia corresponds to the Austronesian expansion. *PNAS* 109(12). 4574–4579.
- Zubin, David A. & Mitsuaki Shimojo. 1993. How general are general classifiers? With special reference to *ko* and *tsu* in Japanese. In *Proceedings of the nine-*



1 *The Alor-Pantar languages: Linguistic context, history and typology*

*teenth annual meeting of the Berkeley Linguistics Society: general session and parasession on semantic typology and semantic universals, 490–502.*

